

1226 Pickens Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
info@garberreporting.com
Telephone: (803) 256-4500 | Fax (803) 256-1999

PUBLIC HEARINGS

April 01, 2019

JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION

REPORTER: Jennifer Nottle

```
1
     STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
                                )
 2
    COUNTY OF RICHLAND
 3
 4
 5
                 JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
 6
                    TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
 7
 8
    BEFORE: REPRESENTATIVE G. MURRELL SMITH, JR., CHAIRMAN
 9
              SENATOR RONNIE A. SABB
10
              SENATOR TOM YOUNG, JR.
11
              MS. HOPE BLACKLEY
12
              REPRESENTATIVE CHRIS MURPHY
13
              MR. J.P. "PETE" STROM
14
              MS. LUCY GREY MCIVER
15
              MR. ANDREW N. SAFRAN
16
              MS. ERIN CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
17
18
                   April 1, 2019
    DATE:
19
    TIME:
                    1:03 p.m.
20
    LOCATION: Gressette Building
21
                    1101 Pendleton Street
22
                   Columbia, South Carolina 29201
23
    REPORTED BY: JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER
24
25
```

1	INDEX
2	PAGE:
3	COURTNEY CLYBURN POPE
4	- Examination by Ms. Crawford14
5	- Examination by Senator Sabb28
6	- Examination by Ms. McIver33
7	- Examination by Senator Young34
8	- Examination by Mr. Strom39
9	- Examination by Chairman Smith40
10	- Re-examination by Senator Young46
11	
12	DAVID W. MILLER
13	- Examination by Ms. Crawford53
14	- Examination by Chairman Smith65
15	- Examination by Ms. Blackley75
16	- Examination by Mr. Safran
17	- Examination by Representative Murphy85
18	- Examination by Mr. Strom86
19	- Re-examination by Chairman Smith87
20	- Examination by Senator Young88
21	
22	HONORABLE M. ANDERSON GRIFFITH
23	- Examination by Ms. Crawford95
24	- Examination by Chairman Smith102
25	- Examination by Senator Young103

1	Vote107
2	Certificate of Reporter112
3	
4	* * * *
5	EXHIBIT INDEX
6	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
7	EXHIBIT NO. 111
8	- Spring 2019 South Carolina Bar's Judicial Qualifications
9	Commission Report (5 pages)
10	EXHIBIT NO. 211
11	- Spring 2019 Citizens Committee Reports (6 pages)
12	EXHIBIT NO. 313
13	- Personal Data Questionnaire for Courtney Clyburn Pope
14	(14 pages)
15	EXHIBIT NO. 4
16	- Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for Courtney
17	Clyburn Pope (5 pages)
18	EXHIBIT NO. 513
19	- Sworn Statement of Courtney Clyburn Pope (6 pages)
20	EXHIBIT NO. 614
21	- Amendment to Sworn Statement of Courtney Clyburn Pope (3
22	pages)
23	EXHIBIT NO. 753
24	- Personal Data Questionnaire for David W. Miller (16
25	pages)

1	EXHIBIT NO. 853
2	- Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for David W.
3	Miller (2 pages)
4	EXHIBIT NO. 953
5	- Sworn Statement of David W. Miller (7 pages)
6	EXHIBIT NO. 1094
7	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable M.
8	Anderson Griffith (17 pages)
9	EXHIBIT NO. 1194
10	- Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for The
11	Honorable M. Anderson Griffith (1 page)
12	EXHIBIT NO. 1294
13	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable M. Anderson Griffith (8
14	pages)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: So Representative Murphy moves that
2	we go into executive session. Seconded by
3	Senator Sabb. All in favor say, aye.
4	(Ayes are heard.)
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed.
6	(No replies are heard.)
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. We're going to be in
8	executive session.
9	EXECUTIVE SESSION
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Safran moves that we come out of
11	executive session. All in favor say, aye.
12	(Ayes are heard.)
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed.
14	(No replies are heard.)
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. We're coming out of
16	executive session. Let me state for the record,
17	now that we've come back out of executive
18	session, that while we were in executive session
19	that there were no decisions made and no votes
20	were taken. And so now we're going to proceed
21	with the commencement of these proceedings and
22	SENATOR YOUNG: I have a motion to make.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Young.
24	SENATOR YOUNG: I move that the Honorable Murrell
25	Smith be elected chairman of the Commission.

1	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Second.	
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: So I guess I'm the vice chairman of	
3	the Commission right now, so I'm proceeding in	
4	the chairman's absence. So there's been a	
5	nomination by Senator Young and a second for me	
6	to serve as chairman. And just by the rules, for	
7	those of you are new Pete, you're not new.	
8	You understand that when it's time for the House	
9	members to serve as chairman, we alternate	
10	chairmanship every year. Are there any other	
11	nominations on the floor?	
12	(No replies are heard.)	
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: No other nominations. Any discussion	
14	as to the nomination of myself as chairman?	
15	(No replies are heard.)	
16	MR. CHAIRMAN: All right. All in favor to Senator	
17	Young's motion to nominate myself as chairman	
18	signify by saying, Aye.	
19	(Ayes are heard.)	
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?	
21	(No replies are heard.)	
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. Do y'all want me to	
23	give a welcome and a thank you speech now? I	
24	appreciate all right, with that, why don't you	
25	start off and let me read my script?	

1 MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, initially, I'd 2 like to introduce to the commission the new 3 members of the commission, Hope Blackley of 4 Pete Strom from Columbia. Spartanburg. 5 Chairman, at this time, I'd also like to request 6 a vote on the cover page for the draft report. 7 It shows that the report will be delivered 8 Thursday, April 11th. The 48-hour period ends at 9 noon on Tuesday, April 16th. And the election is 10 currently scheduled for noon for Wednesday, May 11 And y'all should have the report cover page 12 in your tab B. 13 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Young? 14 Can we vote on that part later today? SENATOR YOUNG: 15 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah. Why don't we pass over this 16 right now. And I'm going to tell you one concern 17 I have, and we need to go into legal briefing. 18 I'd like a legal -- have a legal briefing on 19 this, but I'm going to raise the issue that I 2.0 know the House is on furlough for the week of 21 That's the week before Easter. April 16th. 22 I don't know what y'all's plans are with the 23 Senate, but, you know, I can tell you I know 24 that's the one thing when we're on furlough and 25 reports are released, or somebody's not there,

that I hear more complaints about from the	
legislative session because people ultimately	
have to start seeking commitments on noon when	
nobody's in session and people's phones ring.	
Candidates have difficulty about getting a hold	
of legislators and being able to do deal with	
that. So I'm wondering if there's any way	
we'll talk about that in executive session. I'll	
put that issue out there. So we need to look at	
a calendar and we will we will discuss that,	
so we're going to pass over on that motion for	
right now and we'll move forward. Senator Sabb.	
SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. If I'm in	
order, and I do want to congratulate you on your	
ascension to the chairmanship. We obviously	
could not have found a better chairperson. And I	
would place for the body's consideration a	
nomination for vice chair. And I would like to	
nominate the Honorable Luke Rankin, vice chair of	
this Commission.	
CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Is there a second to that	
nomination?	
SENATOR YOUNG: Second.	
CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Senator Sabb moves	
Senator Rankin be vice chairman and seconded by	

1	Senator Young. Any other nominations?	
2	(No replies are heard.)	
3	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. With that being said, any	
4	discussion as to the nominations?	
5	(No replies are heard.)	
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And with that, all in favor of	
7	Senator Rankin being the vice chairman of the	
8	Commission signify by saying, aye.	
9	(Ayes are heard.)	
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?	
11	(No replies are heard.)	
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. And so, in absentia,	
13	we will congratulate Senator Rankin for his vice	
14	chairmanship. And by the way, guys, I will not	
15	be at the election, so Senator Rankin gets the	
16	distinct pleasure of being on the floor two times	
17	for this session for the nominations.	
18	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, can we distribute the	
19	signature page to the commission members now for	
20	the draft report?	
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes.	
22	MS. CRAWFORD: And then you'll do so at this time. At	
23	this time, I'd like to present the Commission	
24	with the judicial seats that we expect to screen	
25	for the fall 2019. It's kind of a draft that's	

1 in your notebooks as well, in review. 2 Chairman, I'd also like to offer and have made 3 exhibits to the record of the following: the 4 Spring 2019 Citizens Committee Reports from the 5 Midlands, as well as the Spring 2019 South Carolina Bar's Judicial Oualifications Commission 6 7 Report. 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. So we're going to have 9 the 2019 Citizens Committee reports and the South 10 Carolina Bar reports marked as exhibits and 11 entered into the public record. Is there any 12 objection to the same? 13 (No replies are heard.) 14 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. With no objection, that's 15 so ordered. And then that's all the business we 16 have before the Commission right now. So if 17 everybody's ready -- is everybody ready to 18 proceed with candidate hearings? All right. So 19 we will proceed with the candidate hearings. So 2.0 let me start, let's call the meeting back to 21 order and start by saying Judicial Merit Selection Commission is called pursuant to 22 23 Chapter 19 of Title Two of the South Carolina 24 Code of Laws requiring the review of candidates 25 for the judicial office. The function of the

1	Commission is not to chose between candidates,	
2	but rather to declare whether or not the	
3	candidates who are offered for positions on	
4	bench, in our judgement, are qualified to fill	
5	the positions they seek. The inquiry we	
6	undertake is a thorough one and they center	
7	around the Commission's nine evaluative criteria.	
8	It involves a complete personal and professional	
9	background check on each candidate. These public	
10	hearings are convened for the purposes of	
11	screening the candidates, and today we will	
12	screen one open seat for the Circuit Court of the	
13	Second Judicial Circuit.	
14	(Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification	
15	purposes - (5 pages) Spring 2019 South Carolina Bar's	
16	Judicial Qualifications Commission Report.)	
17	(Exhibit Number 2 was marked for identification	
18	purposes - (6 pages) Spring 2019 Citizens Committee	
19	Reports.)	
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Pope, welcome. How are you doing	
21	today?	
22	MS. POPE: I'm well, thank you.	
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I appreciate you being here. Do you	
24	have I see you have somebody you brought with	
25	you. Would you like to introduce?	

1	MS. POPE: I would. I brought my husband. He is a		
2	teacher and a coach down at Midland Valley, and		
3	he took the day off to spend up here with me.		
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Well, good. Welcome. We		
5	appreciate you being here today, Mr. Pope.		
6	MR. POPE: Thank you.		
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Pope, we have before you		
8	let me first swear you in. Will you please raise		
9	you right hand.		
10	MS. POPE, having been duly sworn, testifies as		
11	follows:		
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: We have before you two documents		
13	there, your Personal Data Questionnaire and your		
14	Sworn Statement. Are these both documents that		
15	you have submitted to the Commission?		
16	MS. POPE: Yes, sir.		
17	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are they both correct, to the best of		
18	your knowledge?		
19	MS. POPE: Yes, sir.		
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection to those		
21	being made as a part of the record here today?		
22	MS. POPE: No, sir, I do not.		
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And are there any changes or		
24	additions that you need to make to those two		
25	documents?		

1 MS. POPE: No, sir. 2 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. So without objection 3 we're going to make that a part of the record of 4 the transcript of your hearing today. 5 the Judicial Merit Selection Commission has 6 throughly investigated your qualifications for 7 the bench. Our inquiry is focused on the nine 8 evaluative criteria that has included a ballot 9 box survey, a thorough study of your application 10 materials, verification of compliance with your 11 state ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles 12 in which your name appears, study of previous 13 screenings that my have occurred, and checks for 14 economic conflicts of interest. We have received 15 no affidavits today in opposition to your 16 election and there are no witnesses present to 17 testify. Do you wish to make a brief, and I 18 emphasize brief, opening statement at this time? 19 (Exhibit Number 3 was marked for identification 2.0 purposes - (14 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for 21 Courtney Clyburn Pope.) (Exhibit Number 4 was marked for identification 22 23 purposes - (5 pages) Amendment to Personal Data 24 Questionnaire for Courtney Clyburn Pope.) (Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification 25

1	purposes - (3 pages) Sworn Statement of Courtney		
2	Clyburn Pope.)		
3	(Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification		
4	purposes - (3 pages) Amendment to Sworn Statement of		
5	Courtney Clyburn Pope.)		
6	MS. POPE: I don't. I just wish to thank everyone for		
7	allowing me this opportunity to be here.		
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Crawford is the attorney is		
9	the counsel for all candidates this time, so I		
10	don't have to look around the room. So will you		
11	answer any questions that Ms. Crawford may have.		
12	MS. POPE: Thank you.		
13	MS. CRAWFORD: Thank you.		
14	MS. POPE - EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD:		
15	Q. Ms. Pope, how do you feel that your legal and		
16	professional experience thus far renders you		
17	qualified and will assist you to be an effective		
18	circuit court judge?		
19	A. Yes, ma'am. I would like to start off by saying		
20	that this is the best profession, to me, in the		
21	world. And I do believe that my love and my		
22	dedication for the law has helped me throughout		
23	my career. And starting in law school, I worked		
24	very hard to maintain my grades. I made very		
25	good grades and I was given an academic		

1 scholarship to tutor civil procedure, and so I'm 2 very familiar with those rules. And in fact, I 3 was given a 75 percent scholarship and the next 4 year I was given that same exact scholarship to 5 run the entire tutorial program. After law 6 school, I practiced in different areas of the 7 law. And I think that given that diverse 8 background from workers' compensation to personal 9 injury and criminal defense and prosecution --10 and my role as a staff attorney allows me to do 11 all kinds of different law, employment law, 12 contract law; that's done a daily basis. So I do 13 believe that those professional experiences have 14 conditioned me for this job, but I also believe 15 that my personal experiences have conditioned me 16 for this job. I do not believe that judicial 17 temperament can be taught. I think it's 18 something that is ingrained in you. And I do 19 believe that I have the stamina and the 2.0 temperament to serve, and I would love the honor 21 to do that. 22 Thank you, Ms. Pope. Ms. Pope, the Commission Q. 23 received 245 ballot box surveys regarding you

comments about your temperament and demeanor,

Many positive

with 33 additional comments.

24

1 including: a smart honorable lawyer with 2 excellent temperament; an excellent addition to 3 the bench; this candidate will be fair to 4 litigants and attorneys who appear before her; her demeanor is well suited for the position of a 5 6 circuit court judge. Seventeen of the 33 written 7 comments expressed concerns, and several of these 8 comments indicated that you do not have enough 9 experience for this position, especially in the 10 circuit court. What response would you offer to 11 this?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Α. Yes, ma'am. I think that I have much more experience than some of my colleagues may I think I look younger than what I realize. I'll be 40 in November, and this is really am. my twelfth year of practice. Law is my second career, actually. And so I have a bit more experience than I think many people are aware of. For example, in terms of civil court, before I got the position that I have now, I practiced in civil court within the last five years. current position as a staff attorney, I handle those affairs for the City right now in circuit I was hired by Fulcher Hagler to handle all of their PCR. And in fact, some other firm -

1 - some local firms, I handle all of their PCR 2 cases for them. And so I think that there may be 3 some misunderstanding as to how much experience I 4 do have in civil court. Now, in terms of 5 criminal court, you know, I've always done 6 criminal defense. And right now I'm working as a 7 city solicitor, as a prosecutor, so, you know, I 8 have trial experience. We use the same rules of 9 evidence that any other court uses. We use the 10 same rules of criminal procedure that general 11 sessions uses. A trial in municipal court is the 12 same as a trial in general sessions, just 13 And so I think that I do have different charges. 14 a little bit more experience than people may 15 realize or give me credit for. 16 0. Okay. And you touched on this earlier. In the 17 similar vein, there were some concerns that you 18 lacked the requisite legal knowledge for this You touched on it a little bit with 19 position. your tutoring with the rules of civil procedure. 20 21 One comment phrased it as a "Real world grasp of circuit court trials." And how would you respond 22 23 to that? 24 Again, you know, I know that there have been --Α.

25

well, through this process, I learned that there

1 were some concerns regarding my alleged lack of 2 experience, but I would not have submitted this 3 application if I thought I would be doing a 4 disservice to anyone in my community or anyone in 5 this state. I certainly have the academic skills 6 to conduct research to figure out some of these 7 legal problems that I have not -- you know, 8 there's no attorney that has done every single 9 aspect of the law. But certainly through my 10 position as city solicitor and staff attorney, I 11 come across various types of issues for which I'm 12 required to do the research. There is no mentor 13 And so I handle those things there, it's me. 14 accordingly. I don't think that there should be 15 any concern as to whether or not I have the legal 16 knowledge, nor should there be any concern 17 whether or not I have the capability to 18 supplement that legal knowledge. In fact, you 19 know, during this process when that concern 2.0 became aware to me, I took five CLE courses just 21 to brush myself up over this span of time, and I also -- I couldn't find a civil trial to observe 22 in the state, but I observed a civil trial 403 23 24 that was available online through the Bar. 25 The third thread of concern Thank you, Ms. Pope. Q.

indicates issues with your time management skills 1 2 and concerns about the huge backlog and potential 3 increase in the backlog of criminal cases that 4 have not moved during your tenure of the 5 prosecutor in the city courts. What response 6 would you offer to that? 7 Α. Well, it's hard for me to really respond other 8 than to give you the numbers. I don't know who 9 may have been misinformed about my numbers as a 10 prosecutor. However, when I took this position 11

with the City of Aiken, there were well over 100 cases on the docket. As of Friday, there were 176 cases on the docket. There's not a huge backlog of cases on my docket, and I think my supervisors at the City will tell you that

there's not a huge backlog of cases. So I'm not sure how to respond, other than there's not a

huge amount of merit to that comment.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Thank you, Ms. Pope. We discussed this that the SLED report indicated two matters in which you are a named defendant. The first one is Security Federal Bank v. Eric D. Muhlbaier, and then the second one was Zasadil v. Liden, et al. These were not disclosed on your PDQ. Were you ever served in these matters?

A. I was not. I don't know that -- my name's
 Courtney Pope, so I'm not sure if there's another
 Pope.
 Q. Right. Ms. Pope, the SLED report also noted, and

- Q. Right. Ms. Pope, the SLED report also noted, and you've indicated in your amendment to the PDQ, that a lien was filed against you in 2016 by Pennington Place Homeowner's Association for \$769.85. And can you please explain the nature and circumstances surrounding this lien?
- A. I can. That is a rental property that I own here in Columbia. We actually reside in Aiken, obviously. I was not aware, until this process, that there had ever been a lien placed on that property. Those homeowners association assessments have been paid. They've been paid for several years. And so I was not served with notice of a lien, but I was made aware of that and I amended my PDQ to include that.
 - Q. Okay. The SLED report and your PDQ also showed that around the same time in August of 2016 Wells Fargo instituted a debt collection against you. Can you explain the nature and circumstances surrounding that action?
- A. I can. I have a young child who was born in 2014, and we have had some medical challenges

2.0

with my son. And it has required several surgeries. He's had four surgeries, one of which was during this process. With that said, during that time in 2016, I did pay for those medical procedures on that credit card and so that's -- it's not -- you know, that's what that credit card debt was for. And I did not realize that I had let it go so long. Once I received that notification, I cleared that up immediately. And so that was dismissed with prejudice.

- Q. Okay. And relating to this, I assume, we discussed on the public records section of your credit report there was a medical collection of \$351. Can you address this?
- A. Yes, ma'am, I can. I actually called Experion -Equifax to inquire about that medical bill and
 they believed that that was a mistake on my
 credit, that bill had been paid and they did not
 know why there was a credit -- there was a report
 for a zero balance on my credit.
- Q. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, I'd like to request that we now go into executive session to handle a matter.
- CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. We need to go into executive session. Mr. Safran moves we go in

1	executive session, seconded by Representative	
2	Murphy. All in favor say, aye.	
3	(Ayes are heard.)	
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?	
5	(No replies are heard.)	
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. Ladies and gentlemen	
7	who are not part of this you stay here, Ms.	
8	Pope. And the people in the audience, if y'all	
9	leave while we're under executive session and	
10	then we'll call you back in when we complete	
11	executive session.	
12	EXECUTIVE SESSION	
13	MR. STROM: I would like to make a motion to come out	
14	of executive session.	
15	MR. SAFRAN: Second.	
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Strom has made a motion that we	
17	come out of executive session, all in favor say,	
18	aye.	
19	(Ayes are heard.)	
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?	
21	(No replies are heard.)	
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. We're out of executive	
23	session and we'll open the doors for people to	
24	come back in. Let me state for the record, while	
25	we were in executive session, there were no	

1 decisions made and no votes taken. All right. 2 So we're going to continue proceeding with the 3 public portion of this session. Ms. Crawford. 4 MS. CRAWFORD: Thank you, Ms. Pope. Thank you, Mr. 5 Chairman. I would note that the Midlands Citizen 6 Committee reported that Ms. Pope is well 7 qualified in evaluative criteria of ethical 8 fitness, character, reputation, and judicial 9 Qualified in the evaluative temperament. 10 criteria of constitutional qualifications, 11 physical health, and mental stability. 12 Unqualified in the evaluative criteria of 13 professional and academic ability and experience. 14 Under the experience criteria the Committee 15 stated, "Lack of trial experience or other 16 experience in the common pleas or general 17 She admitted her lack of sessions court. 18 experience and realized it as an impediment to 19 some to being a circuit judge. She has great potential in the future and would be an asset to 20 21 the judiciary once she gains more experience." 22 In summary, the Citizens Committee noted, 23 "Unfortunately, the Committee has to find her 24 unqualified because of lack of experience and not 25 being well versed in procedural and evidentiary

1 issues. She made a very impressive presentation and appearance." One comment of a committee 2 3 member was that she would have to have on-the-job 4 training. She admitted that while serving on the 5 bench she would often have to consult with other sitting judges for advice. With more experience 6 7 in the future the Committee felt she would be 8 well qualified to be a circuit court judge. 9 Ms. Pope, would you like to respond to these Q. 10 findings? 11

- A. I would. Thank you so much for allowing me to clear up this report. I will first start off by saying that during my Bar committee meeting, I was given four substantive questions about the law. I answered those questions and they deemed me gualified in all areas.
- Q. Go ahead.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes, they deemed me qualified in those areas.

And again, I was asked four substantive questions. During my Citizens Committee interview, I was asked one substantive question and that question was, am I familiar with the Daubert Standard. Now, the Daubert Standard is a standard that is used in federal court, not state court, in order to qualify expert witnesses.

South Carolina is not a Daubert state, and	I do
not practice federal law. I would not have	e known
what the Daubert standard is. Now, that wa	as the
only substantive question that I was asked	, and
so I find it I just know that my transcr	ripts
from law school were not submitted, and I	find it
difficult to believe that they were able to	o
assess my academic ability based on one que	estion
that's not relevant to state court. I absorb	olutely
know how to qualify an expert witness in st	tate
court, but we do not use the Daubert Standa	ard
which is why I was not familiar with it.	And
secondly, I would like to offer to the Comm	mittee
I was asked several times whether I would a	admit
that I was not qualified due to my lack of	
experience. And every time I was asked that	at
question I responded by saying I do have	
experience in civil court. I was just in	common
pleas about three weeks before my interview	w. So
I'm not quite sure where the misunderstand:	ing
was, but I never, at any time, admitted that	at I
was not qualified for this position and that	at it
would be a hindrance to this position. I'm	m not -
- I'm really not sure where that	
misinterpretation of my answer was, but I	was

1 asked three or four times whether I would admit 2 that I was not qualified and that I did not have 3 the adequate trial experience. And I did not 4 respond with those answers. And so I did, when 5 asked, hey, do you have this experience, what 6 would you do if you did not know the answer to 7 something, I did say, well, I would do some 8 research and I would reach out to fellow members 9 of the bench. But I did not indicate that I 10 would rely solely on any members of the bench in 11 order to gain knowledge. And so I really 12 appreciate the opportunity to address that, but I 13 do not believe the report accurately reflects 14 what happened that day. And again, I do not know 15 what the misunderstanding was with the answers 16 that I gave during that committee meeting. 17 Q. Thank you, Ms. Pope. I'm going to get to some 18 housekeeping questions. Are you aware that as a

- Q. Thank you, Ms. Pope. I'm going to get to some housekeeping questions. Are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
- A. Yes, ma'am.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?

- 1 Α. I will say that, the day after I submitted my 2 letter of intent, I did contact Senator Young. Ι 3 left him a message and he let me know that he was sitting on this committee. We did not at any 4 5 time speak about my candidacy, and I did apologize. 6 I was not aware at the time that he 7 was on this committee. I'm aware of that now. 8 And so to answer your question, that's the only 9 time I've done that, but we did not talk about 10 anything. He said nothing to me about this 11 process and I said nothing to him after he made 12 aware.
 - Q. Thank you. Are you familiar with § 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 16 | A. I am.

13

14

15

17

18

19

- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 21 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
 members of the General Assembly on your behalf?
- 24 A. No, ma'am.
- 25 | Q. Are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene

1	in this process on your behalf?
2	A. No, ma'am.
3	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
4	Commission's guidelines on pledging as well as SC
5	Code § 2-19-70(e)?
6	A. Yes, ma'am, I'm aware.
7	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the
8	record that any concerns raised during the
9	investigation by staff regarding this candidate
10	were incorporated into my questioning, and I have
11	no further questions.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you, Ms. Crawford.
13	Any questions of Commission members of Ms. Pope?
14	Senator Sabb.
15	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16	MS. POPE - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR SABB:
17	Q. Good to see you. And of course, you know that I
18	served in the House with your dad and a part of
19	Georgetown is in my senatorial district. And
20	so your grandmom, whom I got to meet on your
21	mom's side, that was one of my favorite folks.
22	So I'm well aware of your family and everything,
23	so let me congratulate you.
24	A. Thank you.
25	Q. I know they're very proud of all that you're

doing, and so am I. A couple of points, if I
might, and I want to make sure that I understood
you correctly. So at the Citizens Committee
meeting, you were asked one substantive question
relating to Daubert?

- A. That's correct.
 - Q. No other questions associated with the Rules of Civil Procedure?
- 9 | A. No, sir.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. And I understand the answer that if Q. you're not sure what to do you call other members of the bench. I know some judges that have been judging now for a bunch of years, and guess what they do when they have questions that they're not sure about? Absolutely they round table it with their colleagues, and so there's nothing that's out of the ordinary as it relates to that. you say that you were asked the question about whether or not you thought that the experience that you had was either disqualifying or an impediment, and that when asked the question as to whether or not you thought it was disqualifying, that you never agreed that it was in fact disqualifying? Did I understand you correctly when you said that?

- 1 Α. That's correct, sir. I was asked several times 2 too -- if I would admit that I was -- that I did 3 not have the trial experience to be qualified for 4 this position. And in responding to that 5 question, I answered I do have experience in both 6 common pleas and general sessions. I've worked 7 in both of those courts for years. And I 8 repeated that. So I'm not quite sure where the 9 misunderstanding was.
 - Q. I understand. And the question associated with whether or not it serves as an impediment to your ascension to the bench, how did you respond to that?
- 14 A. I was never asked that question, if anything would be an impediment.
- Q. Okay. All right. So you're not sure exactly sure where that would have come from?
- 18 | A. I am not, sir.
- Q. All right. And so tell me about your experience when it comes to trying cases in municipal court, though.
- 22 A. Yes, sir. I will tell you that that answer is twofold.
- 24 | Q. Yeah.

10

11

12

13

25 A. I've conducted jury trials in municipal court and

1 often times I -- we hold court in Aiken, every 2 day, Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 3 Fridays are reserved for trial maybe 9:30 a.m. 4 But often times I walk in and we've got 5 bench trials ready to go. And so I handle those 6 bench trials, if I can. If there's not witnesses 7 or victims available, I handle those bench trials 8 immediately. And so we use the same rules of 9 evidence. We use the same rules of criminal 10 procedure, and I'm well versed in that.

- Q. And of course, one of the problems when you're a prosecutor is that there is really no such thing as discovery. And so you kind of have to assess your -- I prosecuted for 20 years.
- 15 A. Yes, sir.

11

12

13

- Q. And so you kind of have to assess your evidence and then you just try the case?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 20 And then when the defense comes with whatever
 20 story that they've had an opportunity to analyze
 21 over a course of however long the charges are
 22 pending, then you have to cross-examine them on
 23 whatever their story is?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 | Q. And I remember -- and Mr. Chairman, I'll finish

1 with this, but when I was prosecuting and I had 2 just started, I was a little uncomfortable with I remember a fine jurist who 3 cross-examination. 4 was my fellow assistant solicitor at the time, he 5 suggested that defendants will have a story, but 6 that based upon the legal training that we've 7 received, they can never think as far as we can 8 go in our analytical questioning of them. that has been something that was shared with me 9 10 early on in my career, and I continue to find 11 that is true. Has that been true in your --12 Α. Yes, sir.

- Q. I guess my last, Mr. Chairman, if you don't mind, would be on the issue of some of the things that you handled in circuit court. As I understand it, when you were a -- was it a public defender or just defending cases, which was it?
- 18 | A. Well, I had my own law firm --
- 19 Q. That's right. So you were --
- 20 A. -- Clyburn, Pope & Price. I was a criminal
 21 defense attorney, but we were also contract
 22 attorneys with the state indigent defense fund.
- 23 Q. I understand.

13

14

15

16

- 24 | A. So we did both.
- 25 Q. Sure. And so you really kind of did everything

4		anned the desired with these was advances when they
1		associated with those procedures, other than
2		having to try a case because you all worked them
3		out; did I understand that correctly?
4	A.	That's correct. Yes, sir.
5	Q.	And a good plea is better than a good trial
6		almost any day, right?
7	A.	I would agree, sir.
8	Q.	Thank you.
9	SENA'	TOR SABB: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
10	CHAI	RMAN SMITH: Thank you, Senator Sabb. Any further
11		questions? Ms. McIver.
12	MS. I	MCIVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13	MS. POPE	- EXAMINATION BY MS. MCIVER:
14	Q.	Ms. Pope, thank you for being here today.
15	A.	Thank you for having me.
16	Q.	I was going through some of the records that
17		they've given us. And with regard to CLEs,
18		are you currently in compliance with all of the
19		CLE requirements for this term, for this year?
20	A.	For this past year?
21	Q.	For this past year. Yeah, for our last
22		compliance reporting period which
23	A.	Yes, ma'am.
24	Q.	February or March.
25	Α.	Yes, ma'am. I'm in compliance, and I've actually

1		gone well over. Even before this process began,
2		I believe I carried over six six or seven
3		credits. I'm not sure, but it's around that
4		number. And in taking theses last five CLEs, I'm
5		well over for this year.
6	Q.	For the current year. For 2017/2018, it looks
7		like there may have been an issue. Did you
8		receive a notice of noncompliance at some point
9		for not having completed CLEs?
10	A.	No, ma'am. No, ma'am. I every year my
11		employer sends me to the South Carolina Bar
12		convention, and I usually take some just to brush
13		up on evidence, but I've always been over the
14		amount for CLEs.
15	Q.	What about for 2015/2016? Same thing?
16	A.	Yes, ma'am. At any time, I've not been I've
17		never been behind on my CLE hours.
18	Q.	Thank you very much.
19	A.	Yes, ma'am.
20	CHAI	RMAN SMITH: Any further questions? Senator
21		Young.
22	MS. POPE	- EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:
23	Q.	Ms. Pope, can you hear me?
24	A.	Yes, sir.
25	Q.	Thank you so much for your interest in serving

1 the Second Judicial Circuit as circuit judge. 2 I've looked over your application and your many 3 documents that you had to submit as part of this 4 One of the things that I've read is 5 your Amended Personal Data Questionnaire in which 6 you answered several questions, I quess, as a 7 supplement to what you initially provided to the 8 Commission. One of the questions was number 9 eleven, and it asked you to talk about your 10 experience in a number of matters with your law 11 practice. And in your answer you stated, "My 12 experience in civil court has been very limited." 13 Can you elaborate on what your experience in 14 civil court and circuit court has been? 15 Yes, sir. Most of my work in civil court has Α. 16 been in common pleas, has been, again as I mentioned, PCR work. I've handled dozens of PCR 17 18 complaints and as well as PCR appeals. 19 handled a defamation case with my law partner, 2.0 Jason Price. We handled some dog and horse 21 litigation guite a few years ago. In addition to 22 that, you know, just personal injury. 23 handled two defamation cases in civil court. 24 Let me ask you this: you have -- when you say Q. 25 you've handled the PCR cases, so you've had to

1 arque non-jury matters in circuit court numerous 2 times? 3 Α. That's correct. Yes, sir. 4 Have you handled any other non-jury matters in Q. 5 circuit court that you can recall, other than the 6 post-conviction relief matters? 7 Α. Not that I can recall right now. I can't think 8 of anything right now within the last five years. 9 So if the -- you obviously are aware that the Q. Citizens Committee expressed concerns about 10 11 experience? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And the Bar also had an asterisk in its report in Q. 14 its report about experience. So can you -- I 15 know you had touched on this earlier, but can you 16 tell us in your own words how you can assure the 17 Commission that you have adequate experience to 18 serve this state as a circuit judge? 19 I will say that I've been practicing Α. Yes, sir. 2.0 law for twelve years, and during those twelve 21 years I've spoken to many of my colleagues, a lot 22 of whom are criminal defense attorneys, as I was, 23 or prosecutors, as I am, as well as those who 24 litigate who do purely civil litigation.

25

you know, the amount of cases that actually go to

trial is a lot less than some of my predecessors
have experienced, just honestly speaking. That
doesn't mean that I'm not versed in evidence, and
it doesn't mean I don't know how to conduct
myself during a trial. Those things I think that
I am very comfortable in. I've got a vast amount
of experience in criminal trial just from my role
as a prosecutor. So again, I would not have
applied for this job if it was going to damage
our community, damage this state, or damage the
image of the judiciary in any way. And so I'm
confident that if there are some weaknesses there
I'll work to alleviate those weaknesses. I've
tried to do that a little bit through taking
those CLE courses. And again, I've always been a
quick learner. I've been able to navigate
through different areas of the law with diligence
and with research. As a matter of fact, my most
recent case with the City of Aiken has been tax
litigation. And I had no idea prior to doing
those two cases what I was doing, what that area
of the law was. But I was able to research that
and able to defend the city and defend them
successfully. And so I don't think it's a matter
of me not being able or me not having the

- 1 academic ability to supplement what may be perceived as a lack of trial experience in common 2 3 pleas or in general sessions. 4 So you -- going back to the -- in your private 0. 5 practice, when you were in practice with Mr. 6 Price, you primarily practiced in either general 7 sessions or magistrate level criminal cases? 8 Well, in that experience, we mostly -- or I Α. 9 mostly practice in criminal court, in general 10 sessions --11 Either in general sessions or at the magistrate's 0. 12 level? 13 Or at the magistrate's level --Α. 14 One or the other. Q. 15 But I also handled quite a bit of family law. Α. 16 also did workers' compensation, so I just want to 17 give you a fair picture of things that we did in 18 that firm. 19 And so in that six years, you handled a variety Q. 20 of things in the criminal arena?
- Yes, sir. Α.

- 22 Primarily as a private practice lawyer but also 0. 23 as a hired attorney to do public defender type 24 work through the state --
- 25 Yes, sir. Α.

1	Q.	indigent defense fund? And then since you
2		went in to work for the City, you have worked as
3		a prosecutor and you've handled a variety of
4		different cases in the city criminal court -
5		municipal court?
6	Α.	That's correct. Yes, sir.
7	Q.	And then in addition to your time as a city
8		prosecutor, you've handled a variety of things in
9		other courts on behalf of the City?
10	Α.	That's correct.
l1	Q.	I may have some more questions in a minute.
12	Α.	Yes, sir.
L3	Q.	Thank you.
L4	CHAI	RMAN SMITH: Mr. Strom.
15	MS. POPE	- EXAMINATION BY MR. STROM:
L6	Q.	Thank you. Just following up on Senator Young's
L7		questions. Have you tried any cases, either in
L8		common pleas or general sessions, to a jury?
19	A.	I have not tried to a jury, no, sir.
20	Q.	Okay. Have you bench tried a full case, either
21		common pleas or a criminal non-jury matter, other
22		than the post-conviction reliefs in circuit court
23		or general sessions?
24	A.	Nothing other than the post-conviction relief,
25		Your Honor excuse me, sir. But again, I try

1 cases very often on the municipal level. 2 the same --I started out in the solicitor's office and I 3 Q. 4 tried a lot of cases there too. And I agree with 5 you, the rules are very much the same. 6 Yes, sir. Α. 7 Thank you. Q. 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further questions? 9 MS. POPE - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN SMITH: 10 Ms. Pope, let me just follow up on a couple of Q. 11 those, and I think you hit it at the end. 12 presume as a prosecutor I had to -- I prosecuted 13 last year for my city for a while, while they 14 were in between prosecutors. And I tried about 15 six weeks of -- I did six terms of court, six 16 week terms of court, and I presume you've had to 17 try a couple of cases from time to time --18 Yes, sir. Α. 19 -- to a jury in municipal court; is that right? Q. 20 Yes, sir. Α. 21 Same rules of evidence, almost like general Q. sessions court, isn't it? 22 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 Same law, except it's misdemeanors, correct? Q. 25 Yes, sir. Α.

- Q. And same type of having -- either they had a public defender or they had a private defense attorney on the other side; is that right?
- 4 A. That's correct. Sometimes we have pro se litigants, which is interesting, but, yes, sir.
 - Q. Yeah. I had the pleasure of doing that on my last one over Christmas. So in that regard, have you tried -- I know it's before a judge, but have you tried post-conviction relief to a conclusion?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.

7

8

- Q. And then when you did workers' compensation at

 McAngus, did you try any workers' comp cases

 before a commissioner?
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. To conclusion. And in those cases you would have
 to -- when you would -- I presume you did defense
 while you were at McAngus, right?
- 18 A. That's correct. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I presume then that you would have to go take
 depositions of the claimants and understand their
 case and then probably go depose witnesses,
 meaning expert witnesses, via doctors --
- 23 A. Doctors, yes, sir.
- Q. -- as to the approximate cause of the injury or the types of injuries; is that right?

- 1 A. That's correct, sir.
- 2 Q. Okay. And then when you were in private
- 3 practice, did you say you had handled some civil
- 4 cases also?
- 5 A. I did, yes, sir.
- 6 | Q. And I think you were talking about maybe some
- 7 defamation cases and some personal injury and
- 8 things to that effect?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And did you have to file suit and start the
- 11 discovery process through there?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13 | Q. And did you have to go to motion hearings on
- 14 those cases?
- 15 A. That's correct. Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q. And you just didn't try them; is that right?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And most of them, I presume, settled with
- 19 mediation, like probably 98 percent of the civil
- 20 cases in this state; is that right?
- 21 A. That's correct, sir.
- 22 | Q. So I think one of the thing that all of us lament
- 23 it was a lot more fun, I started practicing law
- in the early '90s was, you know, we tried a lot
- 25 more cases back then. We didn't mediate that.

And I think what we observe from over here now is that that trend's broken. I mean, I used to try ten or twelve cases a year. I'm lucky to try one case -- one or two cases every two or three years now. And I think it's difficult for people who are coming out of law school in this day and age of being able to try cases, but, you know, -- and so, you know, I presume you have a wealth of experience that goes along with everything up through trial; is that right?

- 11 | A. That's correct, sir.
- 12 | Q. And are you well versed on the rules of evidence?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And you use those rules of evidence in your term

 -- in your role as city prosecutor; is that
- 16 right?
- 17 A. That's correct. Yes, sir. As well as in my role as staff attorney.
- 19 And I'll say this is, you know, I tell people Q. 20 this when I've been up here for a while is I had 21 a law partner who is now sitting on the Supreme 22 Court, and he never -- he did nothing but 23 insurance defense work. Tried -- defended governmental entities and defended car wrecks and 24 25 never -- I joke with him the only one case he

1 ever had when he was there was an appointed 2 probation revocation case and Judge King since 3 did a full revocation on his client, and so other 4 than that, he never had any experience. 5 he came, he was the go-to trial judge in general sessions while he was on the bench in Third 6 7 Circuit. So it's really your analytical ability, 8 your ability to learn, and your ability to adapt to that role, and as long has you have the 9 10 substantive knowledge. Do you believe you have 11 the basics of what you need in order to be a 12 successful trial judge?

A. I do, sir. Yes, sir.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Is there any training that you can think that you would -- additional training or -- other than actually participating in a trial, but is there any additional training you think you would need in order to be a successful circuit court judge?
- A. Well, I will say this, that I do not profess to know everything about the law. And I think that that's very dangerous if someone says, I have nothing to learn. You know, attorneys take continued -- the required CLEs for us to continue to learn; other professions do that as well. And I think that I certainly have the qualifications

1 and I have the academic stamina, as well as the 2 physical stamina, to do what is required of me as 3 That doesn't mean that I'm not a trial judge. 4 always willing to learn more or to get better at 5 doing that, and that's something that I'm more 6 than willing to do. 7

- Q. And you've practiced for twelve years?
- 8 Yes, sir. Α.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And the entire time you've been in continuous Q. practice, one way or another through either comp, criminal defense, civil work, and now as a city attorney? Is that what you are, a city attorney for Aiken?
- Well, I am the -- we have a city attorney who is Α. city councils' attorney, but I serve as the city solicitor. It's a dual role. They used to be two separate jobs, but they've merged those two positions together. And so I serve as a solicitor in the morning. And in the afternoon, I serve as the staff attorney. So everything that comes through the City, you know, comes past my desk.
- You handle mostly their litigation? That's not Q. farmed out by the insurance company?
- Well, what I -- it comes to my desk first. Α.

1 Employment issues are most definitely farmed out. 2 And those workers' compensation issues, if I --3 if we can't come to some sort of -- some of those 4 are farmed out as well, those workers' comp 5 issues. But in terms of contract law, minor 6 workers' comp type issues, tax litigation, 7 anything that the City needs handled, I handle 8 that. 9 Q. All right. I appreciate you answering my 10 questions. 11 Thank you. Α. 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions? Senator 13 Young. 14 MS. POPE - RE-EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 15 This is a question I've asked a lot of different Q. candidates in the time I've been on the 16 17 Commission; I'm going to ask you too. You were a 18 private practice lawyer with a partner for six 19 years? 20 Α. That's correct. 21 And you have handled a lot of cases in multiple Q. 22 courts, especially when you were in private 23 practice. I mean, you might be scheduled in the 24 morning to be in magistrate's court in Aiken and 25 then in that afternoon you might have a PCR

matter in wherever.

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. And so during that time, did you -- let me phrase it this way: do you believe that you would -- if you were elected circuit judge, that you would be sensitive to the time constraints and scheduling conflicts that face lawyers who practice in small firms, as it relates to being pulled from one court to the next, depositions in different matters, court appearances, family obligations?

 Do you think you'd be sensitive to that?
- Α. Yes, sir, I do. I've practiced for twelve years and I know how it feels to have to rush from one place to the next, or to even just have to prepare for several things at once. That being said, I'm very much aware of alcoholism, in our community and our profession, as well as increasing rates of depression. Attorneys need vacations and attorneys need breaks. And I do think that there is a delicate balance of moving the docket and of making sure that the community has their case expeditiously heard. I do think that that's important, but I also think that the mental health of attorneys is also important. think that is a plus for their clients if

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

they're in good mental health. And to answer your question, you know, I have three children and I do have family obligations. My husband's a football and a basketball coach. And so I do understand that attorneys need to have a balance of family and of work as well. And so I don't have any issues with orders of protection. Ι think that they're helpful in letting both parties know when an attorney is available and when an attorney needs to take a break, take a vacation. So I think that I would be understanding of those issues. And I think I've tried to do that now in my career as a city I think I'm easy to work with, but I solicitor. also -- you know, my priority is to make sure that that docket is moving and is running, but I'm also very reasonable.

- Q. When you were in private practice, did you handle any cases in Barnwell and Bamburg?
- A. I handled a few cases. I think I maybe had one case out of Bamberg and I had a few cases through my work at the indigent defense. I had a few cases out of Barnwell.
- Q. So you have had -- is it fair to say that you've had some cases in Barnwell and Bamberg, which are

two of the other counties in the Second Judicial
Circuit and you are familiar with members of the
Bar in those communities?

A. I'm familiar with some of those members, yes,

Q. Okay. I don't have anything further at this time.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. POPE:

sir.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you. No further questions -any further questions? All right. Ms. Pope, I appreciate you being here today. Thank you for participating in the screening process. Ι want to take this opportunity to remind that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as well as the letter of the ethics laws. And we review violations or the appearance of impropriety as serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight in screening deliberations. that note, the record will remain open until the formal release of the Report of Qualifications and you may be called back at any time that it I thank you for your offering today. may arise. I thank you for your participation in this and wish you the best of luck.

Thank you. Thank you for having me today.

1	(Off the Record)			
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: We're back on the record, and I think			
3	you've requested to clarify something?			
4	MS. POPE: I apologize. Thank you for allowing me to			
5	come back on the record. I realized, in walking			
6	out, that I misstated. When I was being			
7	questioned about my CLE experience, I do remember			
8	that maybe four or some while ago, maybe four			
9	or five years ago, they were not reported on			
10	time. But it wasn't that I had not completed the			
11	CLEs, but I do think I remember receiving a			
12	letter, and that may be what you were			
13	referencing. And so I apologize. I just			
14	remember doing all of my CLEs, but it may have			
15	been a reporting error on my end, not necessarily			
16	that I had not completed those courses.			
17	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further questions in			
18	regards to that statement or testimony? All			
19	right. Appreciate it.			
20	MS. POPE: Thank you.			
21	(Off the record)			
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Good afternoon, Mr. Miller, how are			
23	you doing?			
24	MR. MILLER: Well, sir.			
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I appreciate you being here. I see			

1	you've brought some company with you. Would you	
2	like to introduce them to the Commission?	
3	MR. MILLER: Yes, sir, if I may. To the left here	
4	or to my left is Ashley Jacobs. She is a	
5	longtime friend of mine, going back to high	
6	school, and she is the newly announced county	
7	administrator for Beaufort County, but we have a	
8	long relationship with each other. And to her	
9	right is another very good friend of mine, my	
10	wife, Christy Miller. And she and I have also	
11	been friends for quite some time.	
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I hope so. Well, welcome both of	
13	y'all. I appreciate you being here today. Mr.	
14	Miller, let me place you under oath, if you'll	
15	raise your right hand, sir.	
16	MR. DAVID W. MILLER, having been duly sworn, testifies	
17	as follows:	
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Miller, before you,	
19	you have before your Personal Data Questionnaire	
20	and your Sworn Statement. Are those both	
21	documents that you've submitted to the	
22	Commission?	
23	MR. MILLER: They are, sir.	
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are they both correct?	
25	MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. I would note that there is an	

1 amendment to the Personal Data Questionnaire as 2 well. 3 And are there any other changes that CHAIRMAN SMITH: 4 need to be made or updated at this time other 5 than your amendment? No, sir. 6 MR. MILLER: 7 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. If you'll hand that to 8 Lindi for me, please, sir. Do you have any 9 objection to us making this an exhibit to your 10 transcript of your sworn testimony here today? 11 MR. MILLER: I do not. 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Miller, the Judicial 13 Merit Selection Commission has throughly 14 investigated your qualifications for the bench. 15 Our focus has been on the nine evaluative 16 criteria, has included a ballot box survey, a 17 thorough study of your application materials, 18 verification of your compliance with state ethics 19 laws, a search of newspaper articles in which 2.0 your name appears, study of previous screenings, 21 and checks for economic conflicts of interest. 22 Today, we've received no affidavits in opposition 23 to your election and there are no witnesses 24 present to testify. We offer you the opportunity 25 to make a brief, and I emphasize brief, opening

1	statement, if you would like.		
2	(Exhibit Number 7 was marked for identification		
3	purposes - (16 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for		
4	David W. Miller.)		
5	(Exhibit Number 8 was marked for identification		
6	purposes - (2 pages) Amendment to Personal Data		
7	Questionnaire for David W. Miller.)		
8	(Exhibit Number 9 was marked for identification		
9	purposes - (7 pages) Sworn Statement of David W.		
10	Miller.)		
11	MR. MILLER: I would waive that opportunity.		
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you. Good answer. Please		
13	answer any questions Ms. Crawford may have.		
14	MR. MILLER: Thank you.		
15	MR. MILLER - EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD:		
16	Q. Good morning good afternoon, Mr. Miller.		
17	A. Good afternoon.		
18	MS. CRAWFORD: I note for the record that based on the		
19	testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which		
20	has been included in the record with candidate's		
21	consent, David W. Miller meets the constitutional		
22	and statutory requirements for this position		
23	regarding age, residence, and years of practice.		
24	Q. Mr. Miller, how do you feel that your legal and		
25	professional experience thus far renders you		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

qualified and will assist you to be an effective circuit court judge?

My legal experiences span both criminal and civil Α. It's a little unusual that I was in the reverse order from a lot of folks. But when I came out of law school, I clerked for a circuit court judge. Following that, I went into private practice. And that really gave me an appreciation both while handling civil matters, plaintiffs work predominately, but also some criminal defense work. It gave me the opportunity to learn how to be a lawyer and how to be a trial lawyer and how to respond to the Then, in the unusual part of -- move of the career, Solicitor Strom Thurmond was elected, and it gave me the opportunity to go work in the solicitor's office for him, which I took because of the fact that I really wanted to get into the courtroom more. I wanted to be a trial lawyer. I wanted to be in the courtroom. I wanted to be trying cases, and working for the solicitor's office was an excellent way for me to do that. And so I've had kind of a varied career, as far as concentrating on civil in the first half of my career and for the last ten years concentrating

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

exclusively on criminal cases. But I think that it's given me the ability to kind of understand where practicing lawyers are coming from, and particularly when it comes to the private Bar, to understand that these people are working and they have office responsibilities and home responsibilities and other responsibilities that need to be tended to, and that needs to be taken into account.

Thank you, Mr. Miller. Mr. Miller, the Q. Commission received 326 ballot box surveys regarding you with 87 additional comments. The ballot box survey contained the positive -contained several positive comments. example, "David is an excellent candidate. works hard and is diligent in everything he does. He listens when you have an issue and comes up with reasonable solutions that are manageable for all parties. I believe he would be an excellent iudge." That's one comment. Two: "David is a fantastic lawyer and an advocate who works hard for his client while maintaining excellent relationships with opposing counsel. ethical and assertive in his cases and his dealings with other lawyers. Think he is the

2

4

3

5

6 7 Α.

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

best candidate for the seat." Five of the written comments expressed concerns and several of these indicated that you do not have the temperament for this position. What response would you offer to this concern?

Well, first of all, I would like to say that I am concerned about the concerns. It is not something that I take lightly. I don't know how to specifically address a concern as to temperament when I don't specifically know what the circumstances were surrounding that, but I would say that it is something that I am aware I've become aware of it during the course of It is something that I am this process. concerned about addressing while I am doing my iob. But in general, to respond to it, I would say part of the issue is the job that I have. I'm the deputy solicitor. I have two assistants that are -- that work with me in Barnwell and Bamberg County. And as a deputy solicitor, as somebody that's in charge for our office, I am a lot of times the one that attorneys want to go to to try to get a better deal than they may have been offered than from one of my assistants. even if it's one of my own cases, very often

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people aren't happy with the answers that they And being firm and trying to be fair is get. sometimes misread by people who are disappointed, who want to tell their clients something better than they're able to tell them or better than I'm able to offer. But one of the biggest problems that I encountered in that is that when we have an office policy, I have to stick to that office policy. I don't necessarily let people know when I'm doing something because Strom has said that it is the way we are going to do things, but I try to do what's right and I try to be fair to everybody. As far as the specific allegations, I would say this: I only -- I think it's only fair to go back and look at my record. And my record over the 18 years I've been in practice, I have never had a founded grievance filed against me. I have never been held in contempt of court. I've never been threatened with contempt of court. I have always conducted myself in what I thought was a fair and courteous manner. That's not to say that I haven't ever lost my temper; I'm sure that I have. But there have been many times whenever I've gone up to somebody after a hearing or after a incident,

2.0

where we might have had cross words with each other, and apologized to them. And I make it a habit, something I've taught my assistants to do, when I've lost a case, a criminal case -- it has happened, when I lose a case, I try to give it a week and then I call up that opposing counsel and I talk to them and tell them that I owe them a drink of their choice. So I try to be fair and I try to be courteous, and I try to be respectful. But as to the specifics of the allegations -- or of the comments, I can't directly direct them, but I can just refer back to my record, what is -- actually is there.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. We discussed that there were concerns that you would not be able to or could have a tough time transitioning from the solicitor officer's to a judicial role. How do you respond to that?
- A. Two ways. First, there's the -- there is the issue of conflict, which obviously you have to deal with those types of issues. I have not been in Aiken County, prosecuting in Aiken County since May of 2015. So largely the conflicts that were there -- or the cases that were open whenever I left Aiken have largely been resolved.

1 As far as Barnwell and Bamberg, they're much, 2 much smaller counties. So we know that it's 3 going to take some time for those things to work 4 But I believe, based upon the feedback that 5 I've gotten from people, that in all but the most 6 egregious of circumstances, or difficult of 7 circumstances, that there would likely be many 8 people that would be willing to waive conflicts 9 if I was on the bench, as far as defense counsel 10 and defendants, as well as anybody in our office. 11 But obviously I know that would be something I 12 would have to do, is to put that out there on the 13 record so that people could address that if they 14 wanted to address it. 15 Thank you. In your PDQ, you mentioned that you Q.

Q. Thank you. In your PDQ, you mentioned that you are a named defendant in federal 1983 action filed by a criminal defendant in which you were sued as your individual capacity. Can you explain the nature and disposition of this lawsuit?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Summary judgement was granted for me and a couple of the other named defendants in the case.

Essentially, a defendant had made some threatening allegations to Judge Early and to Sheriff Carroll. Included in the threats were

1 the fact that he had people inside the jail that 2 he could send messages through -- this defendant 3 could send message through. A decision was made 4 to submit him for safekeeping status. 5 lawsuit was about his disagreements with the 6 process by which he got put into safekeeping And summary judgement was granted for 7 status. 8 everyone by the federal district court. 9 to the United States Fourth Circuit of Appeals, 10 and there myself and the jail administrator, we 11 were -- our summary judgement was affirmed. 12 couple of the causes of action, though, the 13 district -- or the Court of Appeals did send back I'm not aware of 14 to the federal district court. 15 what's gone on with the lawsuit since then. 16 didn't have anymore involvement with it. In your amendment to your PDO 17 Q. Okay. Thank you. 18 you mentioned that you were a plaintiff in a 19 civil action filed in Aiken magistrate's court in This was in the SLED report as well, 20 2008. 21 involving AT&T and Apple. Can you explain the 22 nature and disposition of this lawsuit? 23 I got into a contract dispute with -- it started Α. 24 with AT&T because I had purchased a Apple care 25 protection plan for my iPhone. And when my

2.0

iPhone broke, AT&T tried to push me off to Apple and Apple tried to push me back to AT&T, so I brought a lawsuit against them in magistrate's court. Ultimately, AT&T defaulted, but Apple sent a representative and we had a bench trial and I got a judgment from Apple that they ended up paying.

- Q. Thank you. In the SLED report in your amendment to your PDQ it's mentioned you're a named defendant in two separate guardian ad litem cases. In one, you represented Megan Audrey Daves and Harrison Alexander Daves. And in the other you represented Avery Page and Whitney Page. Please explain the nature and disposition of these lawsuits.
- A. The first one that you mentioned with the Daves, those were minor children and it involved an estate. And a guardian ad litem needed to be appointed to go in and essentially approve for the probate courts the distribution of some land that was involved in the estate. The second one was -- involved a minor child who was the child of a very close friend of mine, a personal friend of mine. They had retained a law firm, a local law firm, to represent them in a case where they

1 were making a claim for a dog bite. And the 2 lawyer who was representing them explained to 3 them that to get the minor settlement approved 4 they would need to have an attorney quardian ad 5 litem, somebody to act as guardian ad litem. I offered to do that for them for free. 6 7 cleared it with Solicitor Thurmond. I wasn't 8 actually representing them, except in the 9 quardian ad litem context and didn't receive any 10 compensation for it. And by that point, when 11 they asked me to come in, it was truly a matter 12 of here's what the petition and the order are. Ι 13 looked over and we went in front of Judge Early, 14 and Judge Early approved everything. 15 Q. Thank you. 16 MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to request that 17 we now go into executive session to handle a 18 matter. 19 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Safran moves we go 2.0 into executive session. All in favor say, aye. 21 (Ayes are heard.) 22 All opposed. CHAIRMAN SMITH: 23 (No replies are heard.) 24 Ayes have it. For those of y'all in CHAIRMAN SMITH: 25 the audience, if y'all could clear the room and

1 Mr. Miller, we'll stay in here until executive 2 session. 3 EXECUTIVE SESSION 4 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. McIver has motioned that we lift 5 the veil and come out of executive session. All6 in favor say, aye. 7 (Ayes are heard.) 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed? 9 (No replies are heard.) 10 We're coming out of executive session. CHAIRMAN: 11 We're back on the record and I'd like to state 12 that while we were in executive session there 13 were no decisions made or votes taken during that 14 Ms. Crawford, you can resume questioning. time. MS. CRAWFORD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 Thank you, 16 Mr. Miller. I would like to note that the 17 Midlands Citizens Committee reported that Mr. 18 Miller is well qualified in the evaluative 19 criteria of ethical fitness, professional and 2.0 academic ability, character, reputation, 21 experience, and judicial temperament, and 22 qualified in the remaining evaluative criteria of 23 constitutional qualifications, physical health, 24 and mental stability. In summary, the Citizens 25 Committee noted, "The Committee felt that Mr.

1 Miller was very well qualified to sit as a 2 circuit court judge. He was energetic, eager to 3 stay involved in making the judicial system more 4 efficient. Pleasant and exhibited good 5 temperament." A few housekeeping questions. 6 Mr. Miller, are you aware that as a judicial Q. 7 candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial 8 Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South 9 Carolina Appellate Court Rules? 10 Yes, ma'am. Α. 11 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 0. 12 contacted any members of this Commission about 13 your candidacy? 14 Absolutely not. Α. 15 Since submitting your letter of intent, have Q. 16 you sought or received the pledge of any 17 legislator either prior to this date --18 Α. No, ma'am. 19 -- or pending the outcome of this screening? Q. 20 No, ma'am. Α. 21 Are you familiar with § 2-19-70, including the 0. 22 limitations on contacting members of the general 23 assembly regarding your screening? 24 Α. I am. 25 Have you asked any third parties to contact

Q.

1		members of the General Assembly on your behalf?
2	Α.	I have not.
3	Q.	Are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene
4		in this process on your behalf?
5	Α.	I am not.
6	Q.	And have you reviewed and do you understand the
7		Commission's guidelines on pledging, as well as
8		SC Code § 2-19-70(e)?
9	Α.	I have.
10	MS.	CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the
11		record that any concerns raised during the
12		investigation by staff regarding the candidate
13		were incorporated into the questioning of the
L4		candidate today, and I have no further questions.
15	CHAI	RMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very much. Any
L6		questions for Mr. Miller?
L7	MR. MILLE	R - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN SMITH:
L8	Q.	Mr. Miller, let me just ask you a couple of
L9		questions while maybe my colleagues can figure
20		out whether they want to ask questions or not.
21		But one thing we ask everybody is obviously
22		you've been a solicitor for how long now?
23	Α.	My ten year anniversary was January of this year.
24	Q.	Okay. Congratulations. And you practiced civil
25		law for how long?

- 1 A. Seven years prior to that.
 - Q. Seven years?
- 3 | A. Yes, sir.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you try any cases in common pleas during that time?
 - As the first chair, no, sir. The -- I had four Α. or five cases that I tried with Bob Hart or that I tried with Ronnie Maxwell, some other people where we would all be together, but as far as first chair, they were -- and I'm trying to think to make sure that there wasn't any, because I haven't thought about it in so long. I don't believe so. Actually, that was one of the driving factors that led me to the solicitor's office was the inability to get into the courtroom in civil cases. I did have some situations where I tried things in front of a Master-in-Equity or a special referee, that type of thing, but these weren't -- they weren't jury trials. They were contested trials, but they were not jury trials where we were going in and striking juries on cases.
 - Q. All right. But I would presume, as part of handling civil cases, you handled some on your own and you would have to go to motion hearings

- or discovery, conduct depositions and things to that affect?
- 3 A. Absolutely. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And did you try any civil cases to conclusion as first chair in magistrate's court any during that time?
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.

5

6

8

9

- Q. And then obviously you've got a wealth of criminal experience, I presume, as being deputy solicitor of the Second Circuit.
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Have you tried any death penalty cases?
- 13 I have been involved in three separate Α. 14 death penalty cases. I was the lead counsel in 15 That was as a defense David Mark Hill's case. 16 attorney. Ultimately, I was one of the witnesses 17 for his execution. I was one of the attorneys, 18 second chair, in a case that was out of Barnwell, 19 and it was very early on in my career. State v. 2.0 David McClure was a death penalty case that was 21 in an interesting procedural posture, but we had 22 to go forward with it as a death penalty case. 23 And then the most recent death penalty case that 24 I was directly involved in was State v. Stefan 25 Carter where in fact our office was seeking the

- death penalty against Mr. Carter for the killing
 of a police officer for Aiken Department of
 Public Safety.
- Q. So you've been on both sides of a death penalty, for the defense and for the prosecution?
- A. Yes, sir. And been certified -- death penalty certified for, I believe, fifteen years.
- 8 Q. As solicitor, the one thing, I presume, in your
 9 circuit y'all -- the solicitor controls the
 10 docket; is that right?
- 11 A. Absolutely.
- Q. And so you decide whether a case gets put on the trial roster and whether you're going to call it that week or not; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 0. All right. Now, through that, you have some 17 ability akin to what a judge would about 18 controlling a civil docket or requesting 19 continuances or granting continuances. And so 20 one question we ask everybody, how do you balance 21 the needs of an attorney who has family obligations or other obligations that they need 22 23 to attend to as to the disposition of cases on 24 the civil roster or a criminal matter? I presume 25 you've got some experience with that as being a

solicitor and deciding what cases to call?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, sir. And actually just got the numbers this Α. We're at about -- we're at 88 percent morning. of our cases in Barnwell are less than 360 days old -- 365 days old, and we're at 92 percent of our cases are less than 365 in Bamberg. reason I point that out is to say this, we plan. We plan in advance. We ask the attorneys to plan in advance. And we have found great success by being flexible, by being able to move, and by really just communicating with the defense Bar. We don't put cases on the docket and tell people you're going to be here at this time and we're going to do X, Y, and Z. We say -- generally, we will go in and say, we think this might be a trial because we've made an offer and you haven't accepted it and you wanted to think about, but we think this might be a trial. When over the next two or three terms do you think that you would be in a position to do that? And so if they have attorney matters that need to be brought up or if they have discovery questions or any of those types of things, we can go ahead and do it and we're not wasting time down the road trying to cram it in the week of trial. As far as where

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

does the attorneys -- and I don't mean this -- I don't mean it in a dismissive way, where does the attorney's personal life come into the -- into affecting the courtroom. I think it's something that needs to be -- that needs to be worked around and needs to be accommodated in every way possible. Most of the time that attorneys have had, for lack of a better term, carte blanche to be protected when they wanted to, most of the time there's not any problem at all. certainly that would be my position as until there is a problem with somebody who always is trying to be protected during a given time period, then it's not a big deal. We didn't -we had Judge Early as our chief administrative judge for so long that we forgot anything about orders of protection. Because if a defense attorney came to us and said, my child's graduating from college or my wife's having surgery or I want to go on a ski trip or I've got a conference, you didn't need an order of protection to do that. If you tell us in our circuit, we're going to say all right, good enough, we can't do it this two weeks. you think about the next month or the next term,

1 and we work with them. And certainly that's the 2 kind of -- that's the kind of thing I would 3 expect. More often than not, in the second 4 circuit, we have the defense saying we want these 5 cases to get tried faster, I've got a client in 6 jail; I want to get the case moved. 7 realistically I think it's more important --8 there's always going to be another case. 9 nervous, I get -- I get anxious whenever there's 10 a client sitting in jail and I don't think that 11 their attorney's doing anything to try to move 12 the case. So I will try to reach out to them and 13 maybe push them a little bit earlier to say what 14 do you think about this guy, what are we planning 15 to do with him. But if the client's out, if he's 16 out on bond, I'm not going to let the fact that 17 his case is getting close to 365 days old or 545 18 days old, I'm not going to let that be the 19 driving factor to say you've got to come in and I 20 don't care that you're going to miss your kid's 21 basketball game or something like that. Because 22 that's just not the way -- nobody gets along with 23 that.

Q. And this happens often in courts around the state is in civil court, if you were successful as a

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

judge, you know, for one reason or other, the term breaks down and some judges just roll with it and say, you know, well, that's the way it is. I'm not going to force anybody to try. And some judges come into circuits and say I don't care what you got, we need to try these cases. How do you balance those two of trying to get the speedy disposition of the cases as opposed to attorneys not being ready for one reason or the other to try cases?

Well, in my experience, particularly the visiting Α. judge, if it is a visiting judge coming in, which I would be doing in a vast majority of these situations, the lawyers know more about the case and what needs to happen with the case than the iudae does. It's very similar to a quilty plea where there's a recommendation. On some level, you have to kind of accept the fact that you don't know everything about their case and you don't know everything about what's going on. And if there's a problem where this lawyer really, really wants to try his case and this lawyer's really, really trying to avoid it, then at that point time you got to look into it more.

But I've had court break down when I was in

charge of it as a solicitor too many times to sit there and cast dispersions at anybody for things happening. And I know what it's like trying to get all of the cats herded. And I don't think that the worst thing in the world that can happen is for court to break down. But can't have it break down all the time. You got to get stuff moved at some point. But I think attorneys just getting into the courtroom or getting summoned to court a lot of times is a pretty good nudge to try to get something resolved. And if they can't resolve it, then we can try it. But it doesn't -- it doesn't have to be this is absolutely how it's going to be. You can still have a life and be a lawyer.

- Q. I presume you being so close to Bamberg and Barnwell, those are in the Second Circuit; is that right?
- A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And so you see the small town lawyers that in criminal general sessions, common pleas, magistrate's court, real estate closings, and everything in between, and recognize that sometimes we've got to wear many hats to make a living in practicing law in the smaller areas.

- 1 Α. Absolutely. One of the biggest challenges for 2 some of them is whenever they get the 3 administrative hearing officer there so they can 4 do the refusals. You know, they can't miss that 5 day. I understand that this is a guy that's the 6 administrative hearing officer, but that's super 7 important for those guys and it's super important 8 for their clients. And we might need -- and we 9 We've said, look, we're going to move 10 first appearances because we didn't know that the 11 ADR judge -- administrative law judge was going to be in Bamburg so we'll move Barnwell first 12 13 appearances. You got to make some accommodation, 14 because if you're trying to do it all you just 15 can't do it without some help.
 - Q. And let me just on a personal note, I noticed you clerked for Judge Rodney Peeples for some period of time.
- 19 | A. I did.

17

- Q. Did it give you a great wealth of experience on how to handle a courtroom? You're under oath.

 That's a joke.
- 23 A. I mean, it was a great experience.
- Q. You can plead the fifth amendment, if you like,
 Mr. Miller.

- 1 A. I would like very much so.
 - Q. I have a judge from Sumter, Judge McFaddin, who clerked with Rodney Peeples. And I do enjoy hearing the stories, I'm just glad I wasn't on the receiving end of some of those stories.
 - A. Well, Judge Peeples was much easier to work for than he was to work around. So I don't have any bad stories about him treating me poorly because he's always treated me very, very well, but I understood it could be tough.
 - Q. All right. Any further questions?

 MR. MILLER EXAMINATION BY MS. BLACKLEY:
 - Q. Hello. Thank you for being here. I do -- I want to circle back around to just temperament and the past experiences that you've had. I believe that it's also important to have the experience and be able to be well qualified, as far as process and procedures of the court system, but also as well as having a positive temperament. And I know you touched on it a little bit earlier, but I'd like to again circle back around and -- do you know of any instances where there have been an issue in the past that people would comment about your temperament or I know you stated that when you've had a case here or there you circle back around

But could you elaborate a little bit choice.

in a week or so and maybe offer a drink of their

3

more on some instances where your temperament has

4

been questioned?

5

Α.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Actually, ma'am, I can't because I have never -nobody has ever come to me and said, your temperament is creating an issue. If I -- and my statement about going to opposing counsel a week or so, I'm talking about adverse jury verdict, adverse in my view. I've never been a huge fan, although certainly I will -- for the sake of appearance, I will shake the hand of my opposing It's never seemed genuine to me. when you got back to them the next week and you say, you know, you really did do a good job trying that kind of case, I mean, that's the kind of person that I try to be. I really don't know of any specific instance. I know there have been times whenever I've been upset in the courtroom. I really do my best to hide it, and I think -- I would tell you that I always do a really good job of hiding it. That's certainly something that I was kind of surprised about. Other personnel in the courtroom, the court reporters, the clerks, the bailiffs, those types of folks, I mean,

they're probably the ones that see me the most in
the courtroom because you're always with them.
And I've never been asked a question or never
heard any comments from anybody like that. So
having said that, whenever I was earlier on in
this process, I actually asked around. And the
assistant solicitors that work with me and Ms.
McElveen, the clerk of court, Mr. Petey, the
clerk of court in Bamberg, and nobody really
could say, well, it was probably that time so and
so happened. I know that there have been times
whenever I've been frustrated and I know that
there have been times when people have been upset
with me. I'm sure that it's happened. I don't
know specifically which one. But I do try to
come back and always come back to it later on.
If I think that there is somebody that's upset
with me, I'll come back to it later on and just
kind of try to talk it out with them. But this -
- we get a number of attorneys. And as the world
is changing and there's so many more attorneys
that are coming out of the law schools, it's not
unusual for us to have an attorney that we've
never seen before show up in Bamberg for one
case. And they're in and they're out and they're

gone. And so I don't know. You know, maybe that
was the case and maybe -- I just don't know.

Q. Is there -
MS. BLACKLEY: let me get a point of clarification.

MS. BLACKLEY: let me get a point of clarification.

Can I ask about comments that have been made in the report from the ballot box comments?

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Absolutely.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So -- and where I'm going with this is the one that I have a -- just like to hear back from you on, there was a comment made that says, "Has publicly made derogatory and sexist comments about women's bodies in the courtroom where he thought only men heard them. Arrogant."
- I have -- and I was actually asked about that. Α. Ι have absolutely no idea where that came from. That's -- it's not me. It's not -- that's not the kind of thing that I would say about someone. I believe, whenever I was questioned about it before, it was that someone had overheard me make a sexist comment in the workplace. And everybody that I asked about it was like where in the world I don't know where could that have come from. that came from or what that comment allegedly was, so I really have no way to respond to that. I just I can't -- I can't say why somebody would

say that.

Q. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Safran.

MR. MILLER - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:

- Q. Mr. Miller, thank you for being here. Let me just take, I guess, a step, I guess, from where we were just now in terms of the ballot box comments. And certainly we look at those and we always have to look at them, you know, with some level of balance because, you know, people have agendas, people say things, certainly, for reasons that may go beyond the truth, and then again they may also be very candid in these things because they feel like it's something the public needs to know or at least that we need to know.
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. That said, I've been very impressed with your comments. I think that pretty much substantially everybody that I, at least, am reading has a high, high opinion of your integrity, of your honesty, of your willingness to work with people. And having been somebody that never practiced in that circuit much but came up -- I started there working for Judge Ness. I mean, I'm very

familiar with, kind of, how that practice is. 1 And, you know, I think what I'm hearing from you 2 3 it's continuing even now 30, 40 years later that 4 people do respect the lawyer's time. They do, 5 basically, try to work together and they 6 recognize that, really, everybody's going to be a 7 winner if there's some degree of cooperation. 8 And from what I'm hearing from you that hasn't 9 changed.

- 10 A. That has not, in the Second Circuit.
- 11 Q. And as a judge, I'm assuming you would continue that same tradition?
- 13 A. Everything that I could do to make that happen, I would do.
 - Q. And, you know, I think having been in front of Judge Early now for so many years, he continued that.
- 18 | A. Absolutely.

15

16

17

19 And I understand Judge Peeples was a little bit Q. 20 different in that respect. I mean, I hate to 21 have to admit it, but I went and clerked with him for about two weeks so when I was with Judge 22 23 Ness, so I've been there. You know, certainly 24 don't have your battle scars. But comparatively, 25 where is the time element devoted to circuit

court civil compared to when you were a law clerk? I'm understanding from what Justice

Kittredge said a couple years ago when we had him here that it's gotten down to almost nothing.

- A. As far as I am aware, in the -- going on May -- end of May will be four years I've been in Barnwell and Bamberg. There have been two civil cases that have been tried.
- Q. Okay. So it --

2.0

- A. And Aiken is a similar number.
- 11 | Q. So it's a very small number?
 - A. It is a very small number, which is not necessarily a bad thing. I think that the mandatory ADR has been an absolute godsend to the civil dockets because of the fact that, you know, Judge Peeples used to spend a lot of time with his pretrial memos and twisting arms back there before the jury came in, trying to save the money so that the jury didn't have to come in to have a term breakdown. And, in fact, a couple of the older lawyers even joked around with me about the fact that they wanted to make sure I wouldn't be trying to bring back those old Judge Peeple's pretrial memo days. And I asked them, I said why in the world would I do that; with ADR you've

1 already gone and mediated your case, you've 2 already done what you can do to get it resolved. 3 The whole reason Judge Peeples was trying to do 4 that was to make the lawyers look at their cases 5 and make them start thinking seriously about how 6 he was going to present his case to a jury. 7 sometimes, on the courthouse steps, the light looks a little bit different and it would get 8 9 cases moved. So, yeah, I think that the ADR has 10 pushed -- I think that a lot of is the ADR 11 pushing those civil cases to resolutions before 12 where they might have been -- especially if it 13 was a basic little wreck case it might have been 14 tried before. 15

- Q. Well, and let me ask you this, in the same vein, though, just because the cases aren't going to trial doesn't mean that there is not an ongoing motion practice and a lot of things that are necessarily going to have to get done in front of the circuit judge in those civil cases.
- A. Absolutely.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And let me ask this, you also have that experience having practiced in the civil realm for about seven years and you've also seen it on the other side when working for Judge Peeples?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. I mean, so do you feel like that experience is something that gives you at least a leg up in terms of being able to handle what you're going to see from the civil side, not withstanding all of your criminal experience?
- Absolutely, I do. And I would also note that Α. when I became an assistant solicitor, so my first four or five years that I was working in the Second Circuit solicitor's office, I would also handle the magistrate's criminal appeal. appeals from criminal court, magistrate's court, we would handle in the circuit court. loved doing it because I would go up there with my files and I'd be ready to go. And because I was getting paid to be there anyway, Judge Early would always make our appeals be the last thing that was heard for the CP&J day. And so I'd sit there and listen to everybody's motions and try to figure out where the -- you know, what were the issues in them and those types of things. And it was not unusual at all -- Aiken, you can still look up the filings online. I used to go in and look up the filings and read about motions that had been made in certain cases, just because

1 I was interested in them.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. So, basically, though, what I'm hearing is, is that really if you were to happen to be able to get this position, the Second Circuit practice in terms of circuit court's really not going to change any from what it's been doing for as long as Jack Early's been on the bench, which was some period of time, correct?
- A. That is correct, yes, sir. To the extent that I could. Now, obviously, if they pass some new rule or some new statute that requires, for example, judges taking over the criminal dockets, then obviously I'd have to do that. But to the extent that I could and to the extent that things haven't changed, I think things run pretty well in the Second Circuit.
- Q. And even if you were to be in charge of the criminal docket, I'm assuming you were going to bring the same thing you've discussed with us in terms of how you would allow that docket to flow.
- 21 A. Absolutely.
- 22 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 23 A. Thank you.
- 24 | CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Murphy?
- 25 | REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. MILLER - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Mr. Miller, I know this is your third time offering for a circuit court position, so I commend you for your desire to serve the citizens of South Carolina. One thing I just wanted to note was looking at your letters of recommendation, one from now solicitor Byron Gipson, and one from the current public defender Grant Gibbons, both of whom tried cases and appeared as adversaries against you in the second circuit while you were a solicitor. Both of those gentlemen, who I know very well, have high remarks for you, your integrity, and your ability to manage a courtroom. I was glad to hear how y'all handle the docketing in the Second Circuit. You know, we have a couple bills that are trying to make their way through the General Assembly about managing the courtroom and who the general sessions docket. And I just think that one size does not fit all, and I am heartened to hear how y'all are doing it in the second circuit. So I commend you on that.
- A. Thank you.
- Q. And then also would like to recognize Ms. Jacobs, who I've known for a long time. When I was a

county councilman down at Dorchester County and
she served with us as the deputy administrator,
so it's always good to see you again, Ashley. So
thank you, that's all I have.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Strom.

MR. MILLER - EXAMINATION BY MR. STROM:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Quickly, just to follow up on this last line of questions, I also noticed that Mr. Nance, who's probably one of the top civil defense lawyers, has written a letter of recommendation for you, along with Ronnie Maxwell and Senator Young, who are two of the top plaintiffs lawyers. Maxwell wrote a letter for you, so I found that informative for us. And the other thing I wanted to ask you, the Second Circuit is one of the three in the state that only has one resident And, of course, Judge Early has retired and he's no longer there to fill in. Is there anything you think you need to do, if you were elected, to be prepared to hit the ground running on day one?
- A. I would need to be ready to work. I think that the -- the concern that I have right now is that Judge Early has been gone for going on a month. It's been a month. It was February 28th that he

1 left. And there were certain things that Judge 2 Early was doing to make sure that the trains ran 3 on time, so to speak, that necessarily are piling 4 up somewhere. But certainly I am -- I'm excited 5 about the opportunity and I am very much 6 interested in jumping into it as fully and 7 completely as possible. I would be going back 8 and looking for law review articles and that type 9 of thing, and summaries of stuff to make sure 10 there weren't big civil issues that I had 11 missed out on. But I have some friends that I 12 think I could reach out to to get those types of 13 things from. But, yes, sir, I know I need to go 14 to work and that's what I want to do. 15 That's all I have. Thanks. Q. 16 MR. MILLER - RE-EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN SMITH: 17 Q. Let me just ask you this, if you were elected --18 I probably should have asked all of these. 19 Strom brings out a good point. Y'all are without 20 a circuit judge over there and you've been for a 21 few months, at least. Would you start right away 22 or --23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 -- would you need months to get through with your

current obligations?

Q.

1 Α. Solicitor Thurmond and I have prepared for the 2 contingencies for me being elected, and if that 3 was to happen I could start immediately. 4 All right. 0. Okay. 5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Young. 6 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 MR. MILLER - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 8 Mr. Miller, I want to thank you for your interest 0. 9 in serving our circuit as a circuit judge. 10 commend you on your service so far in the 11 solicitor's office for the past decade. When I 12 was reviewing your candidacy file, I noticed that 13 the Bar comments -- I think there were over --14 nearly 90 -- approximately 87 Bar comments, of 15 which most of them were very positive and less 16 than five were negative. 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 And I want to commend you on that because, number 0. 19 one, that is a very large number of Bar comments for a candidate, from my experience, in looking 20 21 at these. And in proportion to the volume of 22 comments, the negative comments were very, very 23 minimal. And I think that you should be 24 commended publicly for that.

25

Α.

Thank you, sir.

- Q. My question to you, one of them, is you practiced in private practice for five or six years before you went to the solicitor's office --
- 4 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. -- is that correct? And in the time that you've been in the solicitor's office you have worked with a number of attorneys in the Second Judicial Circuit, most of whom primarily come from small law offices?
- A. Or the public defender, but, yes, sir.
 - Q. And so the ones that are in private practice that come with smaller law offices, I know that the Chairman touched on a little bit of this and with a question he asked earlier, but I want to ask you this as well: do you believe that if you were elected to the circuit court bench that you would be sensitive to the time challenges that face lawyers who come from smaller practices and that you would endeavor to accommodate those within reason from -- in your position as a circuit judge, if you were elected?
- 22 A. Yes, sir. Absolutely, I would.
 - Q. Another question I have for you is, can you elaborate a little further on your civil experience in handling non-jury matters?

- A. As far as the time period whenever I was -- before I was at the solicitor's office?
 - Q. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Basically, the position that I was in Α. Yes, sir. when I first left clerking for Judge Peeples was I was an associate attorney for Bob Hart. Bob Hart had a general civil litigation and criminal defense practice. After working with him for a period of time, doing any number of things, mostly the things that his schedule didn't allow him to do, whether it was covering hearings or covering depositions or what needed to happen, I then went to work with, at the time, a firm called Smith, Massey & Brody. Ultimately, when Solicitor Thurmond left US Attorneys Office he came there and the firm added some more people. But at one time, I guess it was and maybe still is, the biggest law firm in Aiken, which is not as impressive as it might sound. But in that firm, it was -- I was working for myself and was responsible for every aspect of every single that we had. And if we had clients that had contract disputes, I might be defending someone on a contract dispute, or I might be representing the plaintiff in a contract dispute,

1 boundary line issues, condemnation actions. 2 did try a condemnation action to a jury verdict, 3 now that I think about it. There was those types 4 of things that I was doing at that time. 5 Obviously, there were wreck cases and that type 6 of stuff. But a lot of the things that I was 7 doing during that time really didn't get past the 8 motions practice. I mean, we would go in and we 9 would take the depositions and do the 10 interrogatories and the request to produce and 11 fight off the summary judgement motion, settle 12 the case. And that's kind of where things were. 13 You tried cases in Bamberg, Barnwell, and Aiken 0. 14 counties? 15 Yes, sir. Α. 16 To verdict? 0. 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 I don't have any further questions. 0. 19 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further questions for

CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further questions for Mr. Miller? All right. Mr. Miller, this concludes this portion of your screening process. Let me take this opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as well as the letter of the ethics laws.

20

21

22

23

24

1	And we will view violations or the appearance of
2	impropriety as serious and potentially deserving
3	the heavy weight they deserve in the screening
4	deliberations. The record will remain open until
5	the formal release of the Report of
6	Qualifications. You may be called back at such
7	time if the need arises. I thank you for
8	offering. Thank you for your service to the
9	state of South Carolina. I appreciate your
10	patience. We're running a little bit behind
11	today, but maybe if you run a courtroom, you know
12	how that goes.
13	MR. MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Miller. I appreciate
15	you being here.
16	(Off the Record)
17	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Griffith, let me place you
18	under oath. If could, please, sir, raise your
19	right hand.
20	THE HONORABLE M. ANDERSON GRIFFITH, having been duly
21	sworn, testifies as follows:
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Judge Griffith, you have
23	a Sworn Statement and your Personal Data
24	Questionnaire before you. Are these both
25	documents that you've submitted to the

1	Commission?
2	JUDGE GRIFFITH: They are.
3	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are both of them correct at this
4	time?
5	JUDGE GRIFFITH: I reviewed those before I came and I
6	looked at the amendment form that I signed here
7	today, that I'd previously submitted on the PDQ,
8	and those are correct.
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Any changes or updates that
10	you need at this time?
11	JUDGE GRIFFITH: No others at this time, no, sir.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Other than the amendment that's in
13	there?
14	JUDGE GRIFFITH: Correct.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection to us
16	making these two documents an exhibit to your
17	sworn testimony here today?
18	JUDGE GRIFFITH: No.
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Without objection we'll
20	make those exhibits to the sworn to the
21	testimony. Judge Griffith, the Judicial Merit
22	Selection Commission has throughly investigated
23	your qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry
24	has focused on nine evaluative criteria, has
25	included a ballot box survey, a thorough study of

1	your application materials, verification of your
2	compliance with state ethics laws, a search of
3	newspaper articles in which your name appears,
4	studies of previous screenings, and checks for
5	economic conflicts of interest. We received no
6	affidavits today in opposition to your candidacy
7	and no witnesses are here to testify. Do you
8	wish to make a brief, and I emphasize brief,
9	opening statement to the Commission?
10	(Exhibit Number 10 was marked for identification
11	purposes - (17 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
12	The Honorable M. Anderson Griffith.)
13	(Exhibit Number 11 was marked for identification
14	purposes - (1 page) Amendment to Personal Data
15	Questionnaire for The Honorable M. Anderson Griffith.)
16	(Exhibit Number 12 was marked for identification
17	purposes - (8 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable
18	M. Anderson Griffith.)
19	JUDGE GRIFFITH: I realize the hour. I'd just like to
20	thank everybody for their patience and
21	participating in this and being here today. And
22	with that, I'll be ready to answer questions.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you. Answer any questions that
24	Ms. Crawford may have for you, please, sir.
25	MS. CRAWFORD: Hi, Judge. Mr. Chairman, I note for

1 the record that based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included 2 3 in the record, Judge Anderson Griffith meets the 4 constitutional and statutory requirements for 5 this position regarding age, residence, and years 6 of practice. JUDGE GRIFFITH - EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD: 7 8 Judge Griffith, you were recently screened for Q. 9 reappointment as the -- for the Master-in-Equity 10 position of Aiken County? 11 Α. Correct. 12 Q. Do you know the status of this appointment at 13 this time? 14 We filled out one other form for the Governor's Α. 15 office. I was told -- I was confirmed that they 16 received that. I was trying to confirm that for 17 a couple of weeks. And now I received a call 18 from the Governor's office that they had received 19 They said it would be two to three weeks 20 before we'd probably have something. 21 And why are you now seeking this circuit court Q. seat? 22 It was not open when I reapplied for the Master-23 Α. 24 in-Equity position. And actually, I made it a 25 little difficult because I was in the process of

one and I didn't want to submit on this one until
I completed the process on the other, as far as I
could go going through this panel. And so at
that time I submitted for the circuit court
position.

Q. Yes, sir. How do you feel your legal and
professional experience, especially your service

Q. Yes, sir. How do you feel your legal and professional experience, especially your service as a Master, renders you qualified and will assist you to be an effective circuit court judge?

- A. I think the equity court, being a division of the circuit court, has helped me not only gain experience as a judge, but in dealing with pro se litigants, which we have a lot of in the Master-in-Equity, dealing with attorneys and court personnel, contested cases, ruling from the bench on motions, objections, admission of evidence.

 And also the position in Master-in-Equity, we don't have a clerk. So if we have contested cases we prepare all our own orders, or at least I do in that regard. And certainly broadened my knowledge, I guess, on the civil side of the law.
- Q. Judge Griffith, the Commission received 356 ballot box surveys regarding you with 34 additional comments. Some of the positive

1 comments included: "Judge Griffith has an 2 outstanding judicial temperament, as well as a 3 broad legal and practical experience. 4 make him an asset to the circuit court bench." "I tried one matter before Judge Griffith in his 5 6 equity court, while I lost the case, I believe 7 he has exhibited all the qualities I expected 8 from a judge, namely good composure and temperament, strong focus, and excellent writing 9 10 I believe he would make a fine" -- and 11 they said general sessions judge. Four of the 12 written comments expressed concerns indicating 13 that you may lack the experience to serve as a 14 circuit court judge. We touched on this briefly, 15 but how would you respond to this? 16 I think on the circuit court side, I would -- I Α. 17 guess I would dispute that because I've been 18 hearing circuit court level cases for seven-and-19 a-half years and ruling on those. I did note on 2.0 the Citizens Committee they even had a notation 21 in there about not much experience in the last seven years on civil -- on circuit court level 22 23 cases since he's been serving as Master-in-24 Equity, and, of course, we only hear circuit

court cases in that regard. On the general

1 sessions, which I think those comments may be 2 addressed to, I certainly think some work will be 3 put in on that. Certainly at the time I've been 4 at the Master-in-equity I've not handled any 5 criminal matters. We don't have jurisdiction for 6 And most of the general sessions I had 7 were probably in the first decade of my private 8 practice. My civil practice had gotten to the 9 stage where I simply couldn't do both anymore. Our firm did not have a criminal defense 10 11 representation when I came in there. I had a law 12 enforcement background. I had some interest in 13 doing criminal defense and started doing that, 14 but I've tried to go back and look at the 15 appellate court cases for the last year. 16 purchased some CLE information in regards to 17 criminal matters to start studying those. Τ 18 purchased a CLE on criminal procedure from last 19 year to review that. I think it will take -it's like any matter now if I get -- if it's an 2.0 21 unfamiliar area, it takes work and you have to 22 persist at it. And so I think those 23 characteristics I would be able to handle both, 24 civil and criminal. 25

Thank you, Judge. In the SLED report and in your Q.

as

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

amendment to the PDQ, it was a -- it listed you as a plaintiff in a -- or your name as a plaintiff in a civil action filed in the Court of Common Pleas in 2008, JR Williams v. David Heyward. Can you explain?

I was a little surprised that I wouldn't Α. remember a party I was in, but I recognize JR Williams as a former client. I went back. have a list in my office of all my cases from private practice that I used for a conflicts check and looked up that name. That was a magistrate's court case. I represented Mr. We had a \$7,500, recovery plus the Williams. That was then forwarded from the costs. magistrate's court to the circuit court. looks like on the document that I reviewed it had the client's name, and I believe maybe his wife or maybe it's his daughter that was in business with him. And then it lists care of and it lists my name and our firm address. The clerk at Aiken County apparently took that to mean I was a party to it and entered me as a plaintiff. I did also look at the record. And I forwarded a copy of the execution form, the Nulla Bona form, because the process of filing it with the clerk in Aiken

2

3

4

5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

was to commence supplemental proceedings to try and recover on the judgement. Those forms lists do not have me listed as a party. And so I think it was just a clerical error on that.

- Q. Okay, thank you, Judge.
- Mr. Chairman, I note that the Midlands MS. CRAWFORD: Citizens Committee report found Judge Griffith to be qualified in all nine evaluative criteria including constitutional qualifications, ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, physical health, mental stability, experience, and judicial temperament. In summary, the Citizens Committee noted, The Committee was concerned about the -- they said 2002, it should have been 2001, and 2008 tax liens, but was satisfied with your explanations and didn't think this past experience would affect your ability to serve as a circuit court judge.
- Some housekeeping -- do you want to respond to Q. any of that?
- Well, both of those on a tax liens were tried to Α. resolve them. They filed the lien. I paid each of them within two weeks and they were removed from the records on those.

- Q. Judge Griffith, are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the Appellate Court Rules?
- 5 | A. I am.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 9 | A. No.
- Q. Have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 13 | A. No.
- Q. Are you familiar with § 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the general assembly regarding your screening?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact any members of the General Assembly on your behalf?
- 20 | A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on your behalf?
- A. No. I've specifically told anybody who asked not to until they would hear something from me.
- 25 Q. Thank you, Judge. Have you reviewed and do you

1		understand the Commission's guidelines on
2		pledging, as well as SC Code § 2-19-70(e)?
3	A.	I do.
4	MS. 0	CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record
5		that any concerns raised during the investigation
6		by staff regarding the candidate were
7		incorporated into the questioning of the
8		candidate today, and I have no further questions
9		for the judge.
10	CHAI	RMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you. Any questions
11		for the judge?
12	JUDGE GRI	FFITH - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN SMITH:
13	Q.	Judge, you were up here with us in November,
14		weren't you?
15	A.	Yeah, that's correct.
16	Q.	And I think we screened I can't remember
17		whether you were towards the front or towards the
18		end of the master screenings that time.
19	A.	I don't remember. I think I may have been in
20		the middle. I believe I had at least a couple of
21		people behind me, maybe.
22	Q.	And I think we were breezing through those,
23		weren't we?
24	A.	I agree.
25	Q.	And now you're seeing that you're at 5:00

1 You see how the Commission is again o'clock. 2 where we're breezing through this one. But let 3 me say this, as I recall, I'm sure many of the 4 members of the Commission recall you being up 5 here and you certainly are well qualified. 6 Appreciate the service you've given to us over 7 these years. And, you know, I recall obviously 8 reading your briefing paper back then and it's 9 equally as impressive as it was now, so 10 appreciate you being here today. So don't take a 11 lack of questions as any concern, but take that 12 as a compliment from your -- from you being up 13 here before. 14 Α. Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions? Senator 16 Young. 17 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 JUDGE GRIFFITH - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 19 Q. Judge Griffith, I just want to thank you for your 20 interest in continuing your service to our 21 circuit and our county. You have an excellent reputation, and the Bar comments that we received 22 23 reflect that. There are many Bar comments and 24 there are many, many nice complementary said

about you personally about your legal abilities.

One question I have for you is before you went to law school were you in law enforcement?

2.0

- A. I was. I had a criminal justice degree. When I came out of Carolina I worked with the City of Charleston Police Department for about two-and-a-half years. You probably remember the security force out at the SRP site took over from Dupont to Wackenhut. They were looking for law enforcement personnel. I was recently married. My wife was from Aiken. We moved back here and I took a position with law enforcement there until I went back to law school.
- Q. And in your private practice days, before you were in -- a Master-in-Equity, you were in a small practice for many years with two other lawyers?
- A. Correct. Originally three, John Bodenheimer,
 Danny Busbee, and Jack Hunter were there. John
 Bodenheimer, years later, went on his own. And
 so I did most of the litigation work there on the
 civil side and any criminal defense work that was
 done there. We had other attorneys who did
 mostly domestic and then real estate.
- Q. And did you handle cases in Bamberg and Barnwell counties when you were in private practice?

- A. I did. I would handle cases in Barnwell,
 Bamberg, Edgefield, Saluda. I tried cases in
 quite a few counties there, and handled cases in
 more than that. Fortunately able to resolve a
 lot of those. And so, yeah, I do have some
 experience there.
 - Q. I don't have any further questions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

25

JUDGE GRIFFITH:

8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions? All right. 9 Judge Griffith appreciate you being here, and 10 this shall now conclude this portion of your 11 screening process. As you're aware, I want to 12 take this opportunity to remind you that pursuant 13 to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the 14 Commission expects candidates to follow the 15 spirit as well as the letter of the ethics laws. 16 And we review violations or the appearance of 17 impropriety as serious and potentially deserving 18 of heavy weight in the screening deliberations. 19 Also, the record will remain open until the 2.0 formal release of the Report of Qualifications, 21 and you may be called back at such time if the 22 need arises. I thank you offering. I thank you 23 for your service to the state of South Carolina 24 and I wish you safe travels back to Aiken.

Thank you very much.

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you, sir. Senator
2	Sabb moves that we go into executive session. All
3	in favor say, aye.
4	(Ayes are heard.)
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
6	(No replies are heard.)
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. We'll be in executive
8	session.
9	EXECUTIVE SESSION
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: We're getting back on the record.
11	Senator Sabb moves that we come out of executive
12	session. All in favor say, aye.
13	(Ayes are heard.)
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
15	(No replies are heard.)
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. Let me state while we
17	were in executive session there was no matters
18	decided and no votes taken by the Committee. So
19	we're going to move forward with the three
20	with electing so we're going to move forward
21	with the three candidates that will be up for a
22	vote here this afternoon. And so if we'll go
23	ahead and call those three candidates and take a
24	roll call vote, please.
25	MS. CRAWFORD: The three candidates before you are

1	Courtney Clyburn Pope, David W. Miller, the
2	Honorable M. Anderson Griffith. Do you want to
3	go through and vote for each one?
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Let's go through and vote for each
5	one.
6	VOTE
7	MS. CRAWFORD: First we have to vote on the
8	qualifications. All those in favor of finding
9	Courtney Clyburn Pope qualified?
10	(Votes are cast.)
11	MS. CRAWFORD: And proxy?
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I have Representative Rutherford's
13	proxy, and he votes aye for being qualified.
14	MS. CRAWFORD: All those opposed?
15	(Votes are cast.)
16	MS. CRAWFORD: David W. Miller.
17	(Votes are cast.)
18	MS. CRAWFORD: So eight.
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And Representative Rutherford votes
20	aye to David W. Miller being qualified.
21	MS. CRAWFORD: The Honorable M. Anderson Griffith?
22	(Votes are cast.)
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And Representative Rutherford, I have
24	his proxy, and he votes aye as to Judge Griffith
25	being qualified.

1	MS. CRAWFORD: And as there are three candidates found
2	qualified. So these three candidates will be
3	listed as qualified and nominated?
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, let's vote on the let's
5	nominate them.
6	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Move that we find the
7	candidates qualified
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: That were found qualified to be
9	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: nominated.
10	SENATOR SABB: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: So Representative Murphy moves that
12	we find the three candidates who were found
13	qualified to be nominated. All in favor raise
14	their hands.
15	(Votes are cast.)
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
17	(No hands were raised.)
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: No opposed. And then Representative
19	Rutherford votes to nominate all three
20	candidates. So with that being said, we are now
21	the three candidates that are nominated
22	found qualified and nominated are Courtney
23	Clyburn Pope, David W. Miller, and the Honorable
24	M. Anderson Griffith. So that will conclude our
25	screening for the resident seat of the Second

Judicial Circuit. 1 Senator Young. 2 SENATOR YOUNG: Do we need to notify these notify 3 these candidates or when they are notified do 4 they need to be told that the date of the 5 election could change which would change --Well, that's what we didn't talk about. 6 MS. CRAWFORD: 7 CHAIRMAN SMITH: We're getting ready to talk about 8 I think we still got to -- take a vote on 9 the first part of it --10 On the cover sheet. MS. CRAWFORD: 11 Let me bring up that issue and is did CHAIRMAN SMITH: 12 we delay -- we passed over the vote on the cover 13 sheet. And I think the concern was about the 14 election process and the fact that at least for 15 the House members we're are going to likely be on 16 furlough while the nomination -- while the 17 commitments were being sought, and I don't -- are 18 y'all in budget week that week? So it's not a 19 good week for candidates to do that. And I think 2.0 there is some discussion about maybe the House 21 has passed the election resolution that set the date on May 1st, 2019. I think there's some 22 23 discussion maybe pushing it back a week. 24 would have to occur when the Senate put the 25 resolution for that to occur. So I don't know

1	what y'all want to do.
2	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Can we approve the cover sheet
3	subject to the
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The resolution.
5	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: the resolution being
6	amended?
7	(Off the Record)
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Sabb moves that we have
9	nominations and commitments begin on we adopt
10	the cover sheet with the amendment of the current
11	cover sheet to commitments will begin on noon
12	Tuesday, April 23rd, 2019, and the election will
13	be held on noon Wednesday, May 8th, 2019 at noon.
14	SENATOR SABB: You read my mind, Mr. Chairman. So
15	moved.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. So Senator Sabb moves and
17	seconded by Representative Murphy. Any
18	discussion? All in favor adopting the cover
19	sheet for those amendments signify by saying,
20	aye.
21	(Ayes are heard.)
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
23	(No replies are heard.)
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. Okay. And with that
25	being said, Mr. Strom, Ms. Blackley, thank y'all

```
for your wonderful work. Look forward to working
 1
               with y'all.
 2
 3
          MR. STROM: Yes, sir. I move that we adjourn.
 4
          REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Second.
 5
          CHAIRMAN SMITH: All in favor say, aye.
                           (Ayes are heard.)
 6
 7
          CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
                        (No replies are heard.)
 8
 9
          CHAIRMAN SMITH: We are adjourned.
     (There being nothing further, the proceeding concluded at
10
11
     6:05 p.m.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC
3	IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, HEREBY
4	CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED THIS PROCEEDING, ON MONDAY, THE 1ST
5	DAY OF APRIL, 2019, AND THAT THE FOREGOING 111 PAGES
6	CONSTITUTE A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY STENOMASK
7	REPORT OF SAID PROCEEDING.
8	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR
9	COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE
10	PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY
11	INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS
13	11TH DAY OF APRIL, 2019.
14	
15	JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER
16	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 11, 2023
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	4:6 94:10	176	11:15,18	101:3
Exhibits	190401NO.	19:13	245	545
	JMSC_Exh 11_	18	15:23	71:17
190401NO.	Griffith	57:16	28th	5:00
JMSC Exh 1_	4:9 94:13	19	86:25	102:25
updated	190401NO.	10:23	00.25	102.20
3:7 11:14	JMSC_Exh 12_	1983	3	-
190401NO.	Griffith	59:16		6
JMSC Exh 2_	4:12 94:16	1st	3	6
updated		7:11	13:19 14:1,4	11:18 14:3
3:10 11:17	\$	7.11	30	
190401NO.	Ψ		80:3	7
JMSC_Exh 3_	\$351	2	326	
Pope	21:14	2	55:11	7
3:12 13:19	\$7,500	11:17 53:6	33	53:2,9
190401NO.	99:13	2-19-70	15:24 16:6	75
JMSC_Exh 4_	\$769.85	27:13 64:21	34	15:3
Pope	20:8	101:14	96:24	
3:15 13:22		2-19-70(e)	356	8
190401NO.	1	28:5 65:8 102:2	96:23	
JMSC_Exh 5_		20	360	8
Pope	1	31:14	69:4	53:5 94:17
3:18 13:25	11:14 94:14	2001	365	87
190401NO.	10	100:15	69:5,6 71:17	55:12 88:14
JMSC_Exh 6_	94:10	2002	03.3,0 71.17	88
Pope	100	100:15	4	69:3
3:20 14:3	19:11	2008	4	8:00
190401NO.	11	60:20 99:4	4	31:2
JMSC_Exh 7_	94:13	100:15	13:22	
Miller	11th	2014	40	9
3:23 53:2	7:8	20:25	16:15 80:3	
190401NO.	12	2015	403	9
JMSC_Exh 8_	94:16	58:23	18:23	53:8
Miller	14	2015/2016	48-hour	90
4:1 53:5	13:20	34:15	7:8	88:14
190401NO.	16	2016		90s
JMSC_Exh 9_	53:3	20:6,20 21:4	5	42:24
Miller	16th	2017/2018		92
4:4 53:8	7:9,21	34:6	5	69:5
190401NO.	17	2019	11:15 13:23,25	98
JMSC_Exh 10_	94:11	9:25 10:4,5,9	501	42:19
Griffith		7.23 10.7,3,7	26:20 64:8	

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

9:30	accommodation	51:7 60:10 86:2	33:7 40:4	amount
31:3	74:13	admission	102:24	19:18 34:14
	account	96:17	agreed	36:25 37:6
\mathbf{A}	55:9	admit	29:23	analytical
	accurately	25:14 26:1 30:2	ahead	32:8 44:7
a-half	26:13	80:21	24:17 69:23	analyze
97:19	act	admitted	Aiken	31:20
a.m.	62:5	23:17 24:4	19:11 20:11	Anderson
31:2,3	action	25:21	31:1 37:19	92:20 94:12,15,
abilities	20:23 59:16	ADR	45:13 46:24	18 95:3
103:25	60:12,19 91:2	74:11 81:14,25	58:22,25 60:19	anniversary
ability	99:3	82:9,10	68:2 81:10	65:23
23:13 25:8 38:1	actions	advance	83:22 90:18	announced
44:7,8 55:2	91:1	69:8,9	91:13 95:10	51:6
63:20 68:17	ad	adversaries	99:20,25 104:10	answering
85:13 100:10,18	61:10,18 62:4,5,	85:10	105:24	46:9
absentia	9	adverse	akin	answers
9:12	adapt	76:9,10	68:17	26:4,15 57:1
absolute	44:8	advice	alcoholism	anxious
81:14	added	24:6	47:16	71:9
absolutely	90:16	advocate	Alexander	anymore
25:9 29:15	addition	55:21	61:12	60:16 98:9
64:14 67:3	16:2 35:21 39:7	affairs	allegations	apologize
68:11 73:13	additional	16:23	57:14 58:10	27:6 50:4,13
74:1 78:7,15	15:24 44:15,17		59:24	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
80:18 82:21	55:12 96:25	affect 67:2 100:18	alleged	apologized 58:2
83:7 84:21	additions		18:1	
89:22	12:24	affecting	allegedly	apparently
academic		70:4	78:23	99:21
14:25 18:5	address	affidavits	alleviate	appeal
23:13 25:8 38:1	21:14 26:12 56:9 59:13,14	13:15 52:22	37:13	83:11
45:1 63:20	99:20	94:6	allowing	appeals
100:10		affirmed	14:7 24:11 50:4	35:18 60:9,13
accept	addressed	60:11	amended	83:12,17
72:18	98:2	afternoon	20:18 35:5	appearance
accepted	addressing	45:19 46:25	amendment	24:2 49:16
69:17	56:15	50:22 53:16,17	13:23 14:4 20:5	76:12 92:1
accommodate	adequate	age	52:1,5 53:6	105:16
89:19	26:3 36:17	43:6 53:23 95:5	60:17 61:8	appearances
accommodated	administrative	agendas	74:24 93:6,12	47:10 74:10,13
70:6	70:15 74:3,6,11	79:11	94:14 99:1	appeared
/0:0	administrator	agree	□ 74.14 77.1	85:10

94:3 arms appellate 81: 26:21 64:9 Arro 98:15 101:3 78: Apple artic 60:21,24 61:1,2, 13: 4,6 87: application ascentify 13:9 18:3 35:2 8:1 52:17 94:1 Ashl applied 51: 37:9 aspentify appointed 18: 44:1 61:19 assentify approve 55: 61:19 assentify approved 25: 62:3,14 assentify approximate 20: 41:24 assentify approximately 23:	17 pgant 13 eles 11 52:19 8 94:3 nsion 5 30:12 ey 4 86:3 ct 9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	36:16 asterisk 36:13 AT&T 60:21,24 61:1,2, 4 attempting 27:25 65:3 101:21 attend 68:23 attorney 14:8 15:10 16:22 18:8,10 32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18 45:12,14,15,20	72:23 aware 16:18 18:20 20:12,17 26:18 27:6,7,12,25 28:6,22 36:9 47:16 56:12,13 60:14 64:6 65:3 81:5 101:1,21 105:11 aye 9:8 22:2,18 62:20 63:6 ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6, 19,22 62:21,24	balance 21:20 47:20 48:5 68:20 72:7 79:10 ballot 13:8 15:23 52:16 55:11,13 78:6 79:7 93:25 96:24 Bamberg 48:21,25 56:20 59:1 69:6 73:16 77:9,24 81:7 91:13 104:24 105:2
appellate 81: 26:21 64:9 Arro 98:15 101:3 78: Apple artic 60:21,24 61:1,2, 13: 4,6 87: application asce 13:9 18:3 35:2 8:1 52:17 94:1 Ashl applied 51: 37:9 aspe appointed 18: 44:1 61:19 asset approintment 27: 95:12 65: appreciation 101 54:9 asset approve 55: 61:19 asset approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	17 pgant 13 eles 11 52:19 8 94:3 nsion 5 30:12 ey 4 86:3 ct 9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	36:13 AT&T 60:21,24 61:1,2, 4 attempting 27:25 65:3 101:21 attend 68:23 attorney 14:8 15:10 16:22 18:8,10 32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18	16:18 18:20 20:12,17 26:18 27:6,7,12,25 28:6,22 36:9 47:16 56:12,13 60:14 64:6 65:3 81:5 101:1,21 105:11 aye 9:8 22:2,18 62:20 63:6 ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6,	48:5 68:20 72:7 79:10 ballot 13:8 15:23 52:16 55:11,13 78:6 79:7 93:25 96:24 Bamberg 48:21,25 56:20 59:1 69:6 73:16 77:9,24 81:7 91:13 104:24 105:2
26:21 64:9 98:15 101:3 78: Apple 60:21,24 61:1,2, 4,6 application 13:9 18:3 35:2 52:17 94:1 Ashl applied 37:9 appointed 44:1 61:19 appointment 95:12 appreciation 54:9 approve 61:19 approved 62:3,14 approximate 41:24 approximately Arro 78: Arro 78: Arro 78: Ashl arc asses asses asses application 52: Ashl asses asses asses asses approve 25: asses asses approximate 41:24 asses approximately	ogant 13 cles 11 52:19 8 94:3 nsion 5 30:12 ey 4 86:3 ct 9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	AT&T 60:21,24 61:1,2, 4 attempting 27:25 65:3 101:21 attend 68:23 attorney 14:8 15:10 16:22 18:8,10 32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18	20:12,17 26:18 27:6,7,12,25 28:6,22 36:9 47:16 56:12,13 60:14 64:6 65:3 81:5 101:1,21 105:11 aye 9:8 22:2,18 62:20 63:6 ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6,	79:10 ballot 13:8 15:23 52:16 55:11,13 78:6 79:7 93:25 96:24 Bamberg 48:21,25 56:20 59:1 69:6 73:16 77:9,24 81:7 91:13 104:24 105:2
98:15 101:3 Apple 60:21,24 61:1,2, 4,6 application 13:9 18:3 35:2 52:17 94:1 Ashl applied 37:9 appointed 44:1 61:19 appointment 95:12 65: appreciation 54:9 approve 61:19 approved 62:3,14 approximate 41:24 approximately 27: 48:48 48:4	13 Eles 11 52:19 8 94:3 nsion 5 30:12 ey 4 86:3 ct 9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	60:21,24 61:1,2, 4 attempting 27:25 65:3 101:21 attend 68:23 attorney 14:8 15:10 16:22 18:8,10 32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18	27:6,7,12,25 28:6,22 36:9 47:16 56:12,13 60:14 64:6 65:3 81:5 101:1,21 105:11 aye 9:8 22:2,18 62:20 63:6 ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6,	ballot 13:8 15:23 52:16 55:11,13 78:6 79:7 93:25 96:24 Bamberg 48:21,25 56:20 59:1 69:6 73:16 77:9,24 81:7 91:13 104:24 105:2
Apple artic 60:21,24 61:1,2, 13: 4,6 87: application asce 13:9 18:3 35:2 8:1 52:17 94:1 Ashl applied 51: 37:9 aspe appointed 18: 44:1 61:19 asset appointment 27: 95:12 65: appreciation 101 54:9 asset approve 55: 61:19 asset approvimate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	eles 11 52:19 8 94:3 nsion 5 30:12 ley 4 86:3 ct 9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	4 attempting 27:25 65:3 101:21 attend 68:23 attorney 14:8 15:10 16:22 18:8,10 32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18	28:6,22 36:9 47:16 56:12,13 60:14 64:6 65:3 81:5 101:1,21 105:11 aye 9:8 22:2,18 62:20 63:6 ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6,	13:8 15:23 52:16 55:11,13 78:6 79:7 93:25 96:24 Bamberg 48:21,25 56:20 59:1 69:6 73:16 77:9,24 81:7 91:13 104:24 105:2
60:21,24 61:1,2, 4,6 application 13:9 18:3 35:2 52:17 94:1 applied 37:9 appointed 44:1 61:19 appointment 95:12 appreciation 54:9 approve 61:19 approved 62:3,14 approximate 41:24 approximately 13: 487: Ashl Ashl asset ass	11 52:19 a 8 94:3 nsion 5 30:12 a 8 ey 4 86:3 a 6t 9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	101:21 101:21 104:23 104:23 105:23 106:23 106:22 18:8,10 106:22 18:8,10 106:22 18:8,10 106:22 18:8,10 106:22 18:8,10 106:22 18:8,10	47:16 56:12,13 60:14 64:6 65:3 81:5 101:1,21 105:11 aye 9:8 22:2,18 62:20 63:6 ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6,	52:16 55:11,13 78:6 79:7 93:25 96:24 Bamberg 48:21,25 56:20 59:1 69:6 73:16 77:9,24 81:7 91:13 104:24 105:2
4,6 87: application 13:9 18:3 35:2 8:1 52:17 94:1 Ashl applied 51: 37:9 aspe appointed 48:1 61:19 asset appreciation 54:9 asper approve 61:19 asset approved 25: 61:19 asset approved 25: 62:3,14 asset approximate 41:24 asset approximately 23:	8 94:3 nsion 5 30:12 a 4 86:3 ct 9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	27:25 65:3 101:21 attend 68:23 attorney 14:8 15:10 16:22 18:8,10 32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18	60:14 64:6 65:3 81:5 101:1,21 105:11 aye 9:8 22:2,18 62:20 63:6 ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6,	78:6 79:7 93:25 96:24 Bamberg 48:21,25 56:20 59:1 69:6 73:16 77:9,24 81:7 91:13 104:24 105:2
application ascentist 13:9 18:3 35:2 8:1 52:17 94:1 Ashl applied 51: 37:9 aspenisper appointed 18: 44:1 61:19 assen appointment 27: 95:12 65: appreciation 101 54:9 assen approve 55: 61:19 assen approved 25: 62:3,14 assen approximate 20: 41:24 assen approximately 23:	nsion 5 30:12 a ley 4 86:3 a ct 9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	101:21 attend 68:23 attorney 14:8 15:10 16:22 18:8,10 32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18	81:5 101:1,21 105:11 aye 9:8 22:2,18 62:20 63:6 ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6,	96:24 Bamberg 48:21,25 56:20 59:1 69:6 73:16 77:9,24 81:7 91:13 104:24 105:2
13:9 18:3 35:2 52:17 94:1 applied 37:9 appointed 44:1 61:19 appointment 95:12 appreciation 54:9 approve 61:19 asser approved 62:3,14 approximate 41:24 approximately 28:1 Ashl Ashl Ashl asser	5 30:12 a ley 4 86:3 a ct 9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	14:8 15:10 16:22 18:8,10 32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18	105:11 aye 9:8 22:2,18 62:20 63:6 ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6,	Bamberg 48:21,25 56:20 59:1 69:6 73:16 77:9,24 81:7 91:13 104:24 105:2
52:17 94:1 applied 37:9 appointed 44:1 61:19 appointment 95:12 65: appreciation 54:9 approve 61:19 approved 62:3,14 approximate 41:24 approximately 51: Ashl 51: Ashl 51: Ashl 65: aspe	ey 4 86:3 a ct 9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	68:23 attorney 14:8 15:10 16:22 18:8,10 32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18	aye 9:8 22:2,18 62:20 63:6 ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6,	48:21,25 56:20 59:1 69:6 73:16 77:9,24 81:7 91:13 104:24 105:2
applied 51: 37:9 aspe appointed 18: 44:1 61:19 asset appointment 27: 95:12 65: appreciation 101 54:9 asset approve 55: 61:19 asset approved 25: 62:3,14 asset approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	4 86:3 a ct 9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	14:8 15:10 16:22 18:8,10 32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18	9:8 22:2,18 62:20 63:6 ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6,	59:1 69:6 73:16 77:9,24 81:7 91:13 104:24 105:2
37:9 aspe appointed 18: 44:1 61:19 asser appointment 27: 95:12 65: appreciation 101 54:9 asser approve 55: 61:19 asser approved 25: 62:3,14 asser approximate 20: 41:24 asser approximately 23:	ct 9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	14:8 15:10 16:22 18:8,10 32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18	62:20 63:6 ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6,	77:9,24 81:7 91:13 104:24 105:2
appointed 18: 44:1 61:19 asset appointment 27: 95:12 65: appreciation 101 54:9 asset approve 55: 61:19 asset approved 25: 62:3,14 asset approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	16:22 18:8,10 32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18	ayes 9:9,12 22:3,6,	91:13 104:24 105:2
appointed 18: 44:1 61:19 asset appointment 27: 95:12 65: appreciation 101 54:9 asset approve 55: 61:19 asset approved 25: 62:3,14 asset approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	9 90:21 mbly 15,23 64:23 1 85:17	32:21 38:23 41:3 43:18	9:9,12 22:3,6,	105:2
appointment 27: 95:12 65: appreciation 101 54:9 asset approve 55: 61:19 asset approved 25: 62:3,14 asset approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	15,23 64:23 1 85:17	41:3 43:18		
appointment 27: 95:12 65: appreciation 101 54:9 asset approve 55: 61:19 asset approved 25: 62:3,14 asset approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	15,23 64:23 1 85:17		19,22 62:21,24	- ·
95:12 65: appreciation 101 54:9 asset approve 55: 61:19 asset approved 25: 62:3,14 asset approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	1 85:17	45.12 14 15 20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bamburg
54:9 asset approve 55: 61:19 asset approved 25: 62:3,14 asset approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:		13.12,17,13,40	63:7	48:19 74:12
approve 55: 61:19 asses approved 25: 62:3,14 asses approximate 20: 41:24 asses approximately 23:	:16,19	48:9,10 62:4		Bank
61:19 asset approved 25: 62:3,14 asset approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	rtive	67:16 68:21	В	19:22
61:19 asset approved 25: 62:3,14 asset approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	24	69:21 70:18		Bar
62:3,14 asset approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	SS	77:23 90:6	back	10:10 18:24
62:3,14 asset approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	8 31:13,16	attorney's	10:20 22:10,24	24:13 34:11
approximate 20: 41:24 asset approximately 23:	ssments	70:3 71:11	38:4 42:25	36:13 49:3 55:4
41:24 asset approximately 23:	_	attorneys	49:21 50:2,5 51:5 57:15	69:11 88:13,14,
approximately 23:		16:4 32:22	58:12 60:13	19 103:22,23
•	20 97:4	36:22 44:22	61:2 63:11	Bar's
88:14 assis		47:18,19,24	75:14,21,25	10:6 11:15
•••	17 54:1 96:9	48:5 56:22	76:14,21,25 76:14 77:16,18	Barnwell
	tant	67:17 69:8 70:1,	78:9 81:17,23	48:19,23,25
	4 77:7 83:8	7 72:8 73:8	87:7 92:6 98:14	56:19 59:1
	tants	77:20,21 89:7	99:8 103:8	67:18 69:4
••••	18,24 58:3	90:15 96:15	104:10,12	73:17 74:12
	a: a 4 a	104:22	105:21,24	81:7 91:13
37:17 73:25 association associ	l a	nudience	background	104:24 105:1
, , ,	oistion	22:8 62:25	11:9 15:8 98:12	based
	7,14	Audrey	backlog	25:8 32:6 53:18
20.		61:11	19:2,3,14,16	59:4 95:1
argue assu 36:1 21:	<i>F</i>	August	bad	basic
		20:20	75:8 81:13	82:13
••••	ming	Avery	bailiffs	basically
4 7.22 80:	11 84:18	61:13	vaiiiis	80:5 84:2 90:4

basics	bite	briefly	92:6 105:21	carried
44:11	62:1	97:14	candid	34:2
basis	Blackley	bring	79:13	Carroll
15:12	7:3 75:12 78:4	81:23 84:19	candidacy	59:25
basketball	blanche	brings	26:25 27:5	carte
48:4 71:21	70:8	87:19	64:13 88:12	70:8
battle	Bob	broad	94:6 101:8	Carter
80:24	66:7 90:6,7	97:3	candidate	67:25 68:1
Beaufort	Bodenheimer	broadened	10:18,19 11:9	case
51:7	104:17,19	96:21	16:3 26:19 28:9	31:17 33:2
began	bodies	Brody	55:15 56:1 64:7	35:19 37:19
34:1	78:12	90:14	65:12,14 88:20	39:20 41:21
behalf		broke	101:2 102:6,8	43:4,25 44:2
27:23 28:1 39:9	body's 8:17	61:1	candidate's	47:22 48:21
65:1,4 101:19,			53:19,20 95:2	58:4,5 59:22
22	Bona	broken	candidates	61:25 67:15,18,
believed	99:24	43:2	8:5 10:24 11:1,	20,22,23 68:12
21:17	bond	brought	3,11 14:9 46:16	71:6,8,12,17
	71:16	11:24 12:1 51:1	49:14 91:24	72:14,15,19,22
bench	born	61:3 69:21	105:14	75:25 76:16
11:4 13:7 16:3	20:24	brush	capability	77:25 78:2 82:1,
24:5 26:9,10 29:12 30:12	bound	18:21 34:12	18:17	6,13 91:12 97:6
31:5,6,7 39:20	26:19 64:7	bunch	capacity	99:12
44:6 52:14 59:9	101:2	29:13	59:18	cases
61:5 84:7 89:16	boundary	Busbee	car	17:2 19:3,12,13,
93:23 96:16	91:1	104:18	43:24	14,16 30:20
97:4	box	business	card	32:17 35:23,25
big	13:9 15:23	10:15 99:18	21:5,7	36:25 37:21
70:14 87:10	52:16 55:11,13	Byron	,	38:7 39:4,17
	78:6 79:7 93:25	85:7	care 60:24 71:20	40:1,4,17 41:12,
biggest 57:7 74:1 90:18	96:24		72:5 99:19	15 42:4,7,14,20,
bill	break	C		25 43:3,4,7
	48:10 72:25		career 14:23 16:17	46:21 48:19,20,
21:16,18	73:6,7	calendar	32:10 48:13	21,23,25 54:21
bills	breakdown	8:10		55:1,24 56:25
85:16	81:20	call	54:15,23,25 67:19	58:24 61:11
bit	breaks	10:20 22:10		66:4,7,16,22,24
16:17 17:14,19	47:19 72:2	29:11 58:6	Carolina	67:4,12,14
37:14 38:15	breezing	68:13 69:1	10:6,10,23 11:15 25:1	68:23 69:1,4,6,
71:13 75:20	102:22 103:2	95:17	26:21 34:11	12 71:5 72:6,8,
76:2 80:19 82:8	briefing	called	64:9 85:5 92:9	10 81:8 82:4,9,
89:13 92:10	7:17,18 103:8	10:22 21:15	104:4 105:23	11,16,20 83:25
		49:21 90:14	107.7 103.23	85:9 91:5,13
			1	

96:16,20 97:18,	12 103:15,17	48:2 61:17	63:17,24 85:4	clerical
23,25 98:15	105:8	choice	97:20 100:7,13	100:4
99:9 104:24	chairmanship	58:8 76:2	city	clerk
105:1,2,3	8:15 9:14	chose	16:23 17:7	77:8,9 81:2
cast	chairperson	11:1	18:10 19:5,11,	96:19 99:20,25
73:2	8:16	Christmas	15 37:19,23	clerked
cats	challenges	41:7	39:2,4,7,9 40:13	54:6 74:17 75:3
73:4	20:25 74:1	Christy	43:15 45:11,12,	80:21
center	89:17	51:10	14,15,21 46:7	clerking
11:6	change	circle	48:13 104:4	90:5
certified	84:6	75:14,21,25	civil	clerks
68:6,7	changed	circuit	15:1 16:19,21	76:24
chair	80:9 84:15	11:12,13 14:18	17:4,20 18:22,	CLES
8:18,19 66:6,10	changing	16:6,10,23	23 25:18 29:8	33:17 34:4,9,14
67:5,18	77:21	17:22 23:19	35:12,14,15,23	44:23 50:11,14
chairman	Chapter	24:8 32:15 35:1,	36:24 42:3,19	client
7:1,5,13,15	10:23	14 36:1,5,18	45:11 54:3,9,24	44:3 55:22 71:5,
8:13,21,24,25	character	39:22 44:7,18	60:19 65:24	10 99:8
9:3,6,7,10,12,	23:8 63:20	47:5 49:2 54:2,6	66:16,24 67:4	client's
18,21 10:2,8,14	100:11	60:9 64:2 67:10	68:18,24 71:25	71:15 99:17
11:20,23 12:4,7,	characteristics	68:9 70:23 71:4	81:1,7,15 82:11,	clients
12,17,20,23	98:23	73:17 79:24	20,23 83:5 86:9	47:25 57:4 74:8
13:2 14:8 21:21,		80:10,25 82:20	87:10 89:24	90:22
24 22:4,6,16,20,	charge 56:21 73:1	83:10,13 84:4,5,	90:7 96:22	close
22 23:5 28:7,12,	84:17	16 85:3,11,15,	97:22 98:8,24	61:23 71:17
15 31:25 32:13		21 86:15 87:20	99:3 104:21	73:16
33:9,10,12	charges	88:9 89:8,16,20	claim	closings
34:20 39:14	17:13 31:21	95:21 96:4,9,12	62:1	73:22
40:8,9 46:12	Charleston	97:4,14,16,18,	claimants	
49:8 50:2,17,22,	104:5	22,24 99:15	41:20	Clyburn 13:21,24 14:2,5
25 51:12,18,24	check	100:18 103:21	clarification	32:20
52:3,7,12 53:12	11:9 99:11	circuits	78:4	
62:16,19,22,24	checks	72:5	clarify	coach 12:2 48:4
63:4,8,10,15	13:13 52:21	circumstances	50:3	
65:10,15,17	94:4	20:9,22 56:11	CLE	Code
78:7 79:3 84:24,	chief	59:6,7	18:20 33:19	10:24 26:19
25 86:5 87:16	70:15	Citizen	34:17 37:15	28:5 64:7 65:8
88:5,6 89:13	child	23:5	50:7 98:16,18	101:2 102:2
91:19 92:13,14,	20:24 61:22	citizens	clear	colleagues
17,22 93:3,9,12,	child's	10:4,9 11:18	24:12 62:25	16:13 29:16
15,19 94:23,25	70:18	23:22 24:20	cleared	36:21 65:19
100:6 102:4,10,	children	29:3 36:10	21:9 62:7	collection
				20:21 21:13
				1

	 I			1
college	65:7 91:23	complementary	18:6 26:20 37:4	27:14 64:22
70:19	102:1 105:13	103:24	64:8 67:1 101:3	101:15
Columbia	commissioner	complete	conducted	contained
7:4 20:11	41:13	11:8 22:10	30:25 57:20	53:19 55:13,14
comfortable	commitments	completed	conference	95:1
37:6	8:3	34:9 50:10,16	70:21	contempt
commence	committee	96:2	confident	57:19,20
100:1	10:4,9 11:18	completely	37:12	contested
commend	23:6,14,22,23	87:7	confirm	66:20 96:16,19
85:4,22 88:10,	24:2,7,13,20	compliance	95:16	context
18	25:13 26:16	13:10 33:18,22,	confirmed	62:9
commended	27:4,7 29:3	25 52:18 94:2	95:15	contingencies
88:24	36:10 63:17,25	compliment	conflict	88:2
comment	97:20 100:7,13,	103:12	58:20	continuances
17:21 19:18	14	composure	conflicts	68:19
24:2 55:20	common	97:8	13:14 47:7	continue
75:23 78:10,20,	23:16 25:18	concentrating	52:21 58:23	23:2 32:10
23	30:6 35:16 38:2	54:24,25	59:8 94:5 99:10	44:23 80:11
comments	39:18,21 66:4	concern	congratulate	continued
15:24,25 16:7,8	73:21 99:4	7:16 18:15,16,	8:14 9:13 28:23	44:23 80:16
55:12,14 56:2	communicating	19,25 56:5,9	Congratulations	continuing
58:11 77:4 78:5,	69:11	86:23 103:11	65:24	80:3 103:20
6,11 79:8,19	communities	concerned	consent	continuous
88:13,14,19,22	49:3	56:7,15 100:14	53:21	45:9
96:25 97:1,12	community	concerns	consideration	contract
98:1 103:22,23	18:4 37:10	16:7 17:17 18:1	8:17	15:12 32:21
commission	47:17,21	19:2 28:8 36:10	constitutional	46:5 60:23
7:2,3 8:20 9:8,	comp	56:2,7 58:15	23:10 53:21	90:23,24,25
19,23 10:6,16,	41:12 45:10	65:11 97:12	63:23 95:4	controlling
22 11:1,16	46:4,6	102:5	100:9	68:18
12:15 13:5	company	conclude	constraints	controls
15:22 26:24	45:24 51:1	105:10	47:6	68:9
28:13 35:8	comparatively	concludes	consult	convened
36:17 46:17	80:24	91:21	24:5	11:10
49:14 51:2,22	compared	conclusion	contact	convention
52:13 55:11	81:1	41:9,15 67:4	27:2,22 64:25	34:12
64:12 91:24	compensation	condemnation	101:18	
93:1,22 94:9	15:8 38:16	91:1,2	contacted	cooperation 80:7
96:23 101:7	41:11 46:2	conditioned	26:24 64:12	
103:1,4 105:14	62:10	15:14,15	101:7	copy 99:23
Commission's	complaints	conduct	contacting	
11:7 28:4 49:13	8:1 35:18	Conduct	Contacting	correct

12:17 29:6 30:1	22 23:17 24:8,	71:24	91:23 93:24	21 55:15,20
31:24 33:4 36:3	24,25 25:9,11,	cover	100:8 105:13	67:15,20 99:4
39:6,10 40:24	18 26:21 30:20,	7:6,11	cross	day
41:4,18 42:1,9,	25 31:1 32:15	covering	58:1	12:3 26:14 27:1
15,21 43:11,17	35:12,14,15,23	90:11,12	cross-	31:2 33:6 43:6
46:20 51:24	36:1,5 38:9	CP&J	examination	74:5 83:18
83:1 84:8,9 89:5	39:4,5,22 40:15,	83:18	32:3	86:21
93:3,8,14 95:11	16,19,22 43:22	cram	cross-examine	days
102:15 104:17	44:18 46:24	69:25	31:22	69:4,5 71:17,18
correctly	47:9,10 54:2,7,	Crawford	current	81:24 104:13
29:3,25 33:3	14 57:19,20	7:1 9:18,22	16:22 34:6 85:8	deal
costs	60:8,13,14,19	14:8,11,13,14	87:25	8:6 56:23 58:21
99:14	61:4 64:2,9 67:5	23:3,4 28:7,12	07.20	70:14
councilman	71:25 72:25	53:13,15,18	D	dealing
86:1	73:6,10,22	62:16 63:14,15		96:13,15
councils'	75:18 76:24	65:10 94:24,25	dad	dealings
45:15	77:8,9 81:1	95:7 100:6	28:18	55:25
counsel	83:12,13 85:3	102:4	daily	death
14:9 55:23 58:6	89:16 95:21	creating	15:12	67:12,14,20,22,
59:9 67:14 76:8,	96:4,9,11,12,15	76:7	damage	23 68:1,4,6
13	97:4,6,14,16,18,	credit	37:9,10	debt
counties	22,25 98:15	17:15 21:5,6,13,	dangerous	20:21 21:7
49:1 59:2 91:14	99:3,12,15	18,19,20	44:21	decade
104:25 105:3	100:18 101:4	credits	Danny	88:11 98:7
county	court's 84:5	34:3	104:18	decide
51:6,7 56:20		criminal	Data	68:12
58:22 86:1	courteous	15:9 17:5,6,10	12:13 13:20,23	deciding
95:10 99:21	57:21 58:9	19:3 31:9 32:20	35:5 51:19 52:1	69:1
103:21	courthouse	36:22 37:7 38:7,	53:3,6 92:23	decision
couple	82:7	9,20 39:4,21	94:11,14	60:3
29:1 40:10,17	Courtney	45:11 54:3,11	date	decisions
59:21 60:12	13:21,24 14:1,5	55:1 58:4 59:17	27:19 64:17	23:1 63:13
65:18 81:3,20	20:2	67:9 68:24	101:11	declare
85:16 95:17	courtroom	73:21 83:6,11,	Daubert	11:2
102:20	54:19,20 66:16	12 84:12,18	24:23 25:1,3,11	
courses	70:4 73:9 74:21	90:8 98:5,10,13,	29:5	dedication
18:20 37:15	76:19,24 77:2	17,18,24 104:3,	daughter	14:22
50:16	78:12 85:14,18	21	99:18	deemed
court	92:11	criteria	Daves	24:15,18
11:12 14:18	courts	11:7 13:8 23:7,	61:12,16	defamation
16:6,10,19,21,	19:5 30:7 39:9	10,12,14 49:13	David	35:19,23 42:7
24 17:4,5,9,11,	46:22 61:20	52:16 63:19,22	51:16 53:4,7,9,	defaulted
			J1.10 JJ.¬,1,7,	
	1	I	1	I

61:4	90:12 91:9	discuss	47:21 48:16	
defend	depression	8:10	68:10,18 69:12	
37:23	47:18	discussed	84:18,20 85:19	E
	1,112	19:19 21:12	docketing	eager
defendant	deputy	58:14 84:19	85:15	64:2
19:21 59:16,17,	56:18,20 67:9			earlier
23 60:2 61:10	86:2	discussion 9:4	dockets 81:15 84:12	17:16 36:15
defendants	derogatory			71:13 75:20
32:5 59:10,22	78:11	dismissed	doctors	77:5 89:14
defended	deserve	21:10	41:22,23	early
43:23,24	92:3	dismissive	document	32:10 42:24
defender	deserving	70:2	99:16	59:24 62:13,14
32:16 38:23	49:17 92:2	dispersions	documents	67:19 70:15
41:2 85:8 89:10	105:17	73:2	12:12,14,25	80:16 83:16
defending	desire	disposition	35:3 51:21	86:17,24 87:2
32:17 90:23	85:4	59:19 60:22	92:25 93:16	Early's
defense	desk	61:14 68:23	dog	84:7
15:9 17:6 31:19	45:22,25	72:8	35:20 62:1	easier
32:21,22 36:22	devoted	dispute	domestic	75:6
39:1 41:2,16	80:25	60:23 90:24,25	104:23	
43:23 45:11	difficult	97:17	doors	Easter
48:22 54:11	25:7 43:5 59:6	disputes	22:23	7:21
59:9 67:15 68:5	95:25	90:23	Dorchester	easy
69:11 70:17	difficulty	disqualifying	86:1	48:14
71:4 86:9 90:8	8:5	29:20,23,24	dozens	economic
98:10,13 104:21	diligence	disservice	35:17	13:14 52:21
degree	37:17	18:4	draft	94:5
80:7 104:3	diligent	distinct	7:6 9:20,25	Edgefield
deliberations	55:16	9:16	drink	105:2
49:18 92:4	direct	distribute	58:8 76:1	effect
105:18	58:11	9:18	driving	42:8
delicate	directly	distribution	66:14 71:19	effective
47:20	58:11 67:24	61:20	dual	14:17 54:1 96:9
delivered	disagreements	district	45:16	efficient
7:7	60:5	28:19 60:8,13,	due	64:4
demeanor		14	25:15	egregious
15:25 16:5	disappointed 57:4	diverse	duly	59:6
Department		15:7	12:10 51:16	elaborate
68:2 104:5	disclosed 19:24	division	92:20	35:13 76:2
depose		96:11		89:24
41:21	discovery		Dupont 104:7	elected
depositions	31:13 42:11	docket	104:/	47:5 54:15
41:20 47:9 67:1	67:1 69:22	19:12,13,14		86:20 87:17
11.20 17.5 07.1				
	1	1	1	1

88:2 89:16,21	103:9	EXAMINATIO	105:14	97:12
election	Equifax	N	expeditiously	extent
7:9 9:15 13:16	21:16	14:14 28:16	47:22	84:9,14
52:23	equity	33:13 34:22	experience	
element	96:11 97:6,24	39:15 40:9	14:16 16:9,13,	$oxed{\mathbf{F}}$
80:25	Eric	53:15 65:17	18 17:3,8,14	
eleven	19:22	75:12 79:4 85:1	18:2 23:13,14,	face
35:9	error	86:6 88:7 95:7	15,16,18,21,24	47:7 89:17
emphasize	50:15 100:4	102:12 103:18	24:6 25:16,18	fact
13:18 52:25	essentially	excellent	26:3,5 29:19	15:2 16:25
94:8	59:23 61:19	16:2 54:22	30:3,5,19 35:10,	18:18 29:24
employer	estate	55:15,19,22	12,13 36:11,14,	37:18 54:18
34:11	61:18,21 73:22	97:9 103:21	17 37:7 38:2,8	60:1 67:25
employment	104:23	excited	43:9 44:4 50:7	71:16 72:18
15:11 46:1	et al	87:4	53:25 63:21	81:15,20,22
encountered	19:23	exclusively	67:9 68:25	factor
57:7	ethical	55:1	72:11 74:20,23	71:19
end	23:7 55:24	excuse	75:16 82:23	factors
40:11 50:15	63:19 100:9	39:25	83:2,6 88:20	66:14
75:5 81:6		execution	89:25 96:7,13	fair
102:18	ethics	67:17 99:24	97:3,13,21	16:3 38:17
	13:11 49:15	executive	100:12,17 105:6	48:24 57:2,13,
endeavor 89:19	52:18 91:25 94:2 105:15	8:8 21:22,25	experienced	15,21 58:8
		22:1,9,11,12,14,	37:2	fall
ended	evaluative	17,22,25 62:17,	experiences	9:25
61:6	11:7 13:8 23:7,	20 63:1,3,5,10,	15:13,15 54:3	familiar
ends	9,12 49:13	12	75:15	15:2 24:22
7:8	52:15 63:18,22	exhibit	Experion	25:12 27:13
energetic	91:23 93:24 100:8 105:13	11:14,17 13:19,	21:15	49:2,4 64:21
64:2		22,25 14:3 52:9	expert	80:1 101:14
enforcement	everybody's	53:2,5,8 93:16	24:25 25:10	family
98:12 104:2,9,	10:17 80:6	94:10,13,16	41:22	28:22 38:15
11	83:19	exhibited	explain	47:10 48:3,6
enjoy	evidence	64:4 97:7	20:8,22 59:19	68:21
75:3	17:9 31:9,16	exhibits	60:21 61:14	fan
entered	34:13 37:3	10:3,10 93:20	99:5	76:10
10:11 99:22	40:21 43:12,14	expect	explained	fantastic
entire	96:17	9:24 71:3	62:2	55:21
15:5 45:9	evidentiary	expected	explanations	Fargo
entities	23:25	97:7	100:16	20:21
43:24	exact		expressed	farmed
equally	15:4	expects 49:14 91:24	16:7 36:10 56:2	45:24 46:1,4
- •	1	□ 47.14 71.24	10., 50.10 50.2	4J.∠4 4U.1,4

faster	filings	follow	51:11 87:11	73:21 85:17,18
71:5	filings 83:23,24	40:10 49:14		90:7 97:11,25
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	86:7 91:24	front	98:6 101:15,19
favor	fill	105:14	62:13 66:17	,
9:6 22:2,17	11:4 86:18		80:15 82:19	generally
62:20 63:6	filled	football	102:17	69:14
favorite	95:14	48:4	frustrated	gentlemen
28:21	find	force	77:12	22:6 85:12
February	18:22 23:23	72:4 104:7	Fulcher	genuine
33:24 86:25	25:5,6 32:10	forgot	16:24	76:13
federal	findings	70:16	full	Georgetown
19:22 24:24	24:10	form	39:20 44:3	28:19
25:2 59:16 60:8,	fine	93:6 95:14	fully	get all
14	32:3 97:10	99:24	87:6	73:4
feedback	finish	formal	fun	Gibbons
59:4	31:25	49:20 92:5	42:23	85:9
feel	firm	105:20	function	Gipson
14:15 53:24	16:25 32:18	forms	10:25	85:8
79:14 83:2 96:6	38:18 57:2	100:2	fund	give
feels	61:24,25 90:14,	Fortunately	32:22 39:1	17:15 19:8
47:13	16,18,20 98:10	105:4	furlough	38:17 58:5
fellow	99:20	forward	7:20,24	74:20
26:8 32:4	firms	8:12 67:22	future	glad
felt	17:1 47:8	forwarded	23:20 24:7	75:4 85:14
24:7 63:25	fit	99:14,23	20.20 2	go-to
fifteen	85:20	found	G	44:5
68:7	fitness	8:16 26:20 64:8	<u> </u>	godsend
fight	23:8 63:19	69:9 86:13	gain	81:14
91:11	100:10	100:7 101:3	26:11 96:12	good
figure	flexible	founded	gains	12:4 14:25
18:6 65:19	69:10	57:17	23:21	28:17 33:5 48:1
83:20	floor	Fourth	game	50:22 51:9
file	9:16	60:9	71:21	53:12,16,17
42:10 88:12	flow	free	gave	64:4 70:23
filed	84:20	62:6	26:16 54:8,11,	73:10 76:15,21
20:6 57:18	focus	Friday	16	86:3 87:19 97:8
59:17 60:19	52:15 97:9	19:12 31:2	general	governmental
99:3 100:23	focused		17:10,12 23:16	43:24
99.3 100.23 files	13:7 93:24	Fridays 31:3	27:15,23 30:6	Governor's
83:15			38:3,6,9,11	95:14,18
	folks	friend	39:18,23 40:21	grades
filing	28:21 54:5	51:5,9 61:23	44:5 56:16	14:24,25
99:25	76:25	friends	64:22 65:1	14.24,23
			07.22 03.1	
	I	I	I	I

graduating	guys	happy	helped	94:12,15,17
70:19	9:14 74:7	57:1	14:22 96:12	hope
grandmom		hard	helpful	7:3 51:12
28:20		14:24 19:7	48:8	horse
Grant		55:16,21	herded	35:20
85:9	habit	Harrison	73:4	hour
granted	58:3	61:12	hey	94:19
59:21 60:7	Hagler	Hart	26:5	hours
granting	16:24	66:7 90:6,7	Heyward	34:17
68:19	half	hate	99:5	House
grasp	54:24 104:6	80:20	hide	7:20 28:18
17:21	hand	hats	76:20	housekeeping
great	12:9 51:15 52:7	73:24	hiding	26:18 64:5
23:19 69:9	76:12 92:19	health	76:22	100:20
74:20,23	handle	23:11 47:24	high	huge
grievance	16:22,24 17:1	48:1 63:23	51:5 79:21	19:2,13,16,18
57:17	18:13 21:22	100:11	85:12	76:10
Griffith	31:5,7 45:23	hear	Hill's	Hunter
92:17,20,22	46:7 48:18	8:1 34:23 78:9	67:15	104:18
93:2,5,11,14,18,	62:17 74:21	85:14,20 97:24	hindrance	husband
21 94:12,15,18,	83:4,11,13	101:24	25:23	12:1
19 95:3,7,8	85:15 98:23	heard	hired	husband's
96:23 97:1,5	104:24 105:1	9:2,5,9,11 10:13	16:24 38:23	48:3
100:7 101:1	handled	22:3,5,19,21	hit	10.5
102:12 103:18,	32:15 35:17,19,	47:22 62:21,23	40:11 86:20	I
19 105:9,25	20,23,25 36:4	63:7,9 77:4	hold	
ground	38:15,19 39:3,8	78:13 83:18	8:5 31:1	idea
86:20	42:3 46:7,21	hearing	home	37:20 78:15
guardian	48:20 66:24	13:4 57:25 74:3,	55:6	identification
61:10,18 62:4,5,	98:4 105:3	6 75:4 80:2,8	Homeowner's	11:14,17 13:19,
9	handling	84:2 97:18	20:7	22,25 14:3 53:2,
guess	54:9 66:24	hearings	homeowners	5,8 94:10,13,16
29:13 32:13	89:25	10:18,19 11:10	20:14	image
35:6 79:6 90:17	happen	42:13 66:25	honestly	37:11
96:22 97:17	72:15 73:5	90:12	37:2	immediately
guidelines	80:13 84:3 88:3	heartened		21:9 31:8 88:3
28:4 65:7 102:1	90:13	85:20	honesty 79:22	impediment
guilty	happened 26:14 58:5	heavy		23:18 29:21
72:16	77:11,14	49:18 92:3	honor 15:20 39:25	30:11,15
guy	· ·	105:18		important
71:14 74:5	happening 73:3	held	honorable 8:19 16:1 92:20	47:23,24 71:7
	13.3	57:18	0.17 10.1 92.20	74:7 75:16

impressed	86:14	101:21	Jacobs	8 96:10,13,23
79:18	ingrained	interview	51:4 85:24	97:1,5,8,11,14
impressive	15:18	24:21 25:19	jail	98:25 100:5,7,
24:1 90:19	initially	introduce	60:1,10 71:6,10	19 101:1,25
103:9	7:1 35:7	7:2 11:25 51:2	January	102:9,11,12,13
impropriety	injuries	investigated	65:23	103:18,19
49:17 92:2	41:25	13:6 52:14	Jason	105:9,25
105:17	injury	93:22	35:20	judgement
in-equity	15:9 35:22	investigation	job	11:4 59:21 60:7,
95:24 96:15	41:24 42:7	28:9 65:12	15:14,16 37:9	11 91:11 100:2
inability	inquire	102:5	56:16,17 76:15,	judges
66:15	21:16	involved	21	24:6 29:12 72:2,
incident	inquiry	61:17,21,22	jobs	5 84:12
57:25	11:5 13:7 93:23	64:3 67:13,24	45:17	judging
include	inside	involvement	John	29:13
20:18	60:1	60:16	104:17,18	judgment
included	instance	involves	joke	61:6
13:8 52:16	76:18	11:8	43:25 74:22	judicial
53:20 59:25	instances	involving	joked	9:24 10:6,21,25
93:25 95:2 97:1	75:22 76:3	60:21	81:21	11:13,16 13:5
including	instituted	iphone	JR	15:16 23:8
16:1 27:14	20:21	60:25 61:1	99:4,7	26:19,20 35:1
64:21 100:9	insurance	issue	judge	49:1 52:12
101:14	43:23 45:24	7:19 8:9 32:14	14:18 16:6	58:17 63:21
incorporated		34:7 55:17	23:19 24:8 35:1	64:3,6,7 89:7
28:10 65:13	integrity 79:21 85:13	56:17 58:20	36:18 41:8 44:2,	93:21 97:2
102:7		75:22 76:7	5,12,18 45:3	100:12 101:1,2
increase	intent 26:23 27:2,17	issues	47:5 54:2,7	judiciary
19:3	64:11,15 101:6	18:11 19:1 24:1	55:20 59:24	23:21 37:11
increasing		46:1,2,5,6 48:7,	62:13,14 64:2	jumping
47:18	interest 13:14 34:25	12 58:21 83:21	68:17 70:15,16	87:6
indicating	52:21 88:8 94:5	87:10 91:1	72:1,12,16	juries
97:12	98:12 103:20	it's	74:11,17 75:2,6	66:22
indigent	interested	37:24	79:25 80:11,16,	jurisdiction
32:22 39:1	84:1 87:6	I'd	19,22 81:16,23	98:5
48:22	interesting	93:7	82:3,20,25	jurist
individual	41:5 67:21		83:16 86:17,24	32:3
59:18			87:1,20 88:9	jury
information	interrogatories 91:10	J	89:21 90:5	30:25 39:18,19
98:16		Jack	92:17,22 93:2,5,	40:19 66:19,21
informative	intervene	84:7 104:18	11,14,18,21	76:9 81:18,19
	27:25 65:3		94:19,25 95:3,7,	82:6 91:2

justice	large	lead	level	36:24
81:2 104:3	88:19	67:14	38:7,12,13 40:1	litigation
	largely	learn	72:17 79:10	35:21 36:24
K	58:23,25	44:8,22,24 45:4	97:18,22	37:20 45:23
	law	54:12	Liden	46:6 90:7
kid's	14:22,23 15:5,7,	learned	19:23	104:20
71:20	11,12 16:16	17:25	lien	living
killing	18:9 24:15 25:2,	learner	20:6,9,13,17	73:25
68:1	6 32:18 35:10,	37:16	100:23	local
kind	19 36:20 37:17,	leave	liens	17:1 61:24
9:25 31:13,16	22 38:15 40:24	22:9	100:16,22	long
32:25 54:23	42:23 43:6,21	led	life	21:8 31:21 44:9
55:2 71:2 72:18	44:20 46:5 54:6	66:14	70:3 73:14	51:8 65:22,25
76:16,23 77:19	61:24,25 65:25	left	lift	66:12 70:16
78:17 80:1	73:25 74:11	27:3 51:3,4	63:4	84:6 85:25
91:12	77:22 81:1 87:8	58:25 87:1 90:5,	light	longer
kinds	89:9,12 90:18	15	82:7	86:18
15:11	96:22 98:11	leg	lightly	longtime
King	104:2,8,11,12	83:3	56:8	51:5
44:2	laws	legal	limitations	looked
Kittredge	10:24 13:11	7:17,18 14:15	27:14 64:22	35:2 62:13 93:6
81:3	49:15 52:19	17:18 18:7,15,	101:15	99:11
knowledge	91:25 94:2	18 32:6 53:24	limited	lose
12:18 17:18	105:15	54:3 96:6 97:3	35:12	58:5
18:16,18 26:11	lawsuit	103:25	Lindi	lost
44:10 96:22	59:20 60:5,15,	legislative	52:8	57:23 58:4 97:6
	22 61:3	8:2		lot
L	lawsuits	legislator	list 99:9	36:21 37:1 40:4
	61:15	27:18 64:17		42:23,24 46:15,
lack	lawyer	101:11	listed	21 54:5 56:22
18:1 23:15,17,	16:1 38:22	legislators	99:1 100:3	73:10 81:16
24 25:15 38:2	46:18 54:12,13,	8:6	listen	82:10,18 91:6
70:8 97:13	19 55:21 62:2	letter	83:19	96:14 105:5
103:11	72:21 73:15	26:23 27:2,17	listens	love
lacked	lawyer's	49:15 50:12	55:17	14:21 15:20
17:18	72:23 80:4	64:11,15 86:10,	lists	loved
Ladies	lawyers	13 91:25 101:6	99:19 100:2	83:14
22:6	47:7 55:3,25	105:15	litem	luck
lament	72:14 73:20	letters	61:10,18 62:5,9	49:24
42:22	81:21 82:4 86:9,	85:6	litigants	
land	12 89:18 104:16		16:4 41:5 96:14	lucky 43:3
61:20		letting 48:8	litigate	43.3
	I .	1 40.0	Ĺ	i .

Luke	85:14	62:11,18 68:24	24:3	10,14,16,18,23,
8:19	manageable	97:5 98:20	members	25 52:6,11,12
	55:18	matters	7:3 9:19 26:8,	53:4,7,10,11,14,
	management	19:20,25 35:10	10,24 27:14,23	15,16,21,24
	19:1	36:1,4,6 47:10	28:13 29:11	55:10 63:1,16,
made	managing	54:4,9 69:21	49:2,4 64:12,22	18 64:1,6 65:16,
10:2 12:21	85:18	89:25 98:5,17	65:1 101:7,15,	17,18 74:25
14:24 20:17	mandatory	Maxwell	19 103:4	75:12 79:4,5
22:16 23:1 24:1	81:14	66:8 86:11,13	memo	85:1,2 86:6
27:11 52:4	manner	Meangus	81:24	87:16 88:7,8
59:23 60:3	57:22	41:12,17	memos	91:20 92:13,14
63:13 69:16	March	Mcclure	81:17	mind
78:5,10,11	33:24	67:20	men	32:13
83:25 95:24		Mcelveen	78:13	mine
magistrate	Mark 67:15	77:8	mental	51:5,9 61:23,24
38:7		Mcfaddin	23:11 47:24	minimal
magistrate's	marked	75:2	48:1 63:24	88:23
38:11,13 46:24	10:10 11:14,17	Mciver	100:11	minor
60:19 61:3 67:5	13:19,22,25	33:11,12,13	mentioned	46:5 61:17,22
73:22 83:11,12	14:3 53:2,5,8	63:4	35:17 59:15	62:3
99:12,15	94:10,13,16		60:18 61:9,16	minute
maintain	married	meaning 41:22	mentor	39:11
14:24	104:9		18:12	misdemeanors
maintaining	Massey	mediate 42:25	merged	40:24
55:22	90:14		45:17	misinformed
majority	master	mediated	merit	19:9
72:13	96:8 102:18	82:1	10:21 13:5	misinterpretatio
make	Master-	mediation	19:18 52:13	n
12:24 13:3,17	95:23 96:14	42:19	93:21	25:25
22:13 29:2	Master-in-	medical		misread
48:15 52:25	97:23	20:25 21:4,13,	message 27:3 60:3	57:3
58:2 66:11	Master-in-	16		missed
73:24 74:13	equity	meet	messages	87:11
78:19 80:13	66:18 95:9	28:20	60:2	
81:22 82:4,5	96:18 98:4	meeting	middle	misstated
83:17 85:17	104:14	10:20 24:13	102:20	50:6
87:2,9 93:20	materials	26:16 29:4	Midland	mistake
94:8 97:4,10	13:10 52:17	meets	12:2	21:17
making	94:1	53:21 95:3	Midlands	misunderstandi
47:21 52:9 62:1	matter	Megan	10:5 23:5 63:17	ng
64:3 93:16	21:23 37:18,24	61:11	100:6	17:3 25:20
manage	39:21 47:1	member	Miller	26:15 30:9
G			50:22,24 51:3,	

		1	<u> </u>	1
mom's	Murphy	nomination	77:20 81:10,11,	56:21 57:8,9
28:21	22:2 84:24,25	8:18,22	12 88:18,19	59:10 66:15
Monday	85:1	nominations	89:7 90:9 94:10,	67:25 83:10
31:2		9:1,4,17	13,16	88:11 89:3,6
money	N	non-jury	numbers	90:2,15 95:15,
81:18		36:1,4 39:21	19:8,9 69:2	18 99:9
month	name's	89:25	numerous	officer
70:25 86:24,25	20:1	noncompliance	36:1	68:2 74:3,6
months	named	34:8		officer's
87:21,24	19:21 59:16,22	noon	О	58:17
morning	61:9	7:9,10 8:3		offices
45:19 46:24	Nance	notation	oath	89:9,12
53:16 69:3	86:8	97:20	51:14 74:21	older
motion	nature	note	92:18	81:21
8:11 22:13,16	20:8,22 59:19	23:5 28:7 49:19	objection	on-the-job
42:13 66:25	60:22 61:14	51:25 53:18	10:12,14 12:20	24:3
82:18 91:11	navigate	63:16 65:10	13:2 52:9 93:15,	ongoing
motioned	37:16	74:16 83:7 85:6	19	82:17
63:4	necessarily	94:25 97:19	objections	online
motions	50:15 57:9	100:6 102:4	96:17	18:24 83:23
83:19,24 91:8	81:13 82:19	notebooks	obligations	open
96:17	87:3	10:1	47:10 48:3	11:12 22:23
move	needed	noted	68:22 87:25	49:19 58:24
8:12 54:14	61:18 90:12	20:4 23:22	observe	92:4 95:23
69:10 71:11	negative	63:25 100:13	18:22 43:1	105:19
74:9,12	88:16,22	notice	observed	opening
moved	nervous	20:17 34:8	18:23	13:18 52:25
19:4 71:6 73:8	71:9	noticed	occurred	94:9
82:9 104:10	Ness	74:16 86:8	13:13	opinion
moves	79:25 80:23	88:12	offer	79:21
8:24 21:25	newly	notification	10:2 16:10 19:6	opportunity
62:19	51:6	21:9	25:13 52:24	14:7 26:12
moving	newspaper	November	56:5 57:6 69:16	31:20 49:12
47:20 48:16	13:11 52:19	16:15 102:13	76:1	52:24 53:11
Muhlbaier	94:3	nudge	offered	54:12,16 87:5
19:22	nice	73:10	11:3 56:24 62:6	91:22 105:12
multiple	103:24	Nulla	offering	opposed
46:21	nobody's	99:24	49:22 85:3 92:8	9:10 22:4,20
municipal	8:4	number	105:22	62:22 63:8 72:8
17:11 30:20,25	nominate	11:14,17 13:19,	office	opposing
39:5 40:1,19	8:19	22,25 14:3 34:4	10:25 40:3	55:23 58:6 76:8,
		35:8,10 53:2,5,8	54:17,22 55:6	12
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

opposition	22:7 28:18 35:3	80:19 81:16	94:11,14	plead
13:15 52:22	54:14 56:17	82:3,25 90:5	personally	74:24
94:6	66:23	penalty	103:25	pleas
order	participating	67:12,14,20,22,	personnel	23:16 25:19
8:14 10:21	44:16 49:11	23 68:1,4,6	76:23 96:16	30:6 35:16 38:3
24:25 26:11	94:21	pending	104:9	39:18,21 66:4
44:11,18 54:5	participation	27:19 31:22	Pete	73:21 99:4
62:12 70:21	49:23	64:19 101:11	7:4	Pleasant
ordered	parties	Pennington	Petey	64:4
10:15	27:22 48:9	20:7	77:8	pleasure
orders	55:19 64:25	people	petition	9:16 41:6
48:7 70:17	101:18	8:2 16:18 17:14	62:12	pledge
96:20	partner	22:8,23 43:5,19	phones	27:18 64:16
ordinary	35:19 43:21	55:5 57:1,3,10	8:4	101:10
29:17	46:18	59:5,8,13 60:1	phrase	pledging
Originally	party	66:8 69:12	47:3	28:4 65:7 102:2
104:17	99:7,21 100:3	75:23 77:13	phrased	point
outcome	pass	79:10,11,22	17:21	34:8 62:10 69:7
27:19 64:19	7:15 8:11 84:10	80:4 90:17	physical	72:24 73:8 78:4
101:12	past	102:21	23:11 45:2	87:19
outstanding	33:20,21 45:21	people's	63:23 100:11	points
97:2	75:15,23 88:11	8:4	picture	29:1
overheard	91:7 100:17	perceived	38:17	police
78:19	patience	38:2		68:2 104:5
owe	92:10 94:20	percent	piling 87:3	policy
58:7	pay	15:3 42:19 69:3,		57:8,9
	21:4	5	place 8:17 20:7 47:14	poorly
P	paying	period	51:14 92:17	75:8
r	61:7	7:8 33:22 70:14		Pope
pages	PCR	74:17 84:8 90:1,	plaintiff 60:18 90:25	11:20,22 12:1,5,
11:15,18 13:20,	16:25 17:1	9	99:2,3,22	6,7,10,16,19,22
23 14:1,4 53:3,	35:17,18,25	persist		13:1,4,21,24
6,9 94:11,17	46:25	98:22	plaintiffs	14:2,5,6,12,14,
paid	PDQ	person	54:10 86:12	15 15:22 18:25
20:15 21:18	19:24 20:5,18,	76:17	plan	19:19 20:2,3,4
83:16 100:23	19 53:19 59:15	personal	60:25 69:7,8	22:8 23:4,6 24:9
panel	60:17 61:9 93:7	11:8 12:13	planning	26:17 28:13,16
96:3	95:2 99:1	13:20,23 15:8,	71:14	32:20 33:13,14
paper	Peeple's	15 35:5,22 42:7	plans	34:22,23 39:15
103:8	81:23	51:19 52:1 53:3,	7:22	40:9,10 46:14
part	Peeples	6 61:23 70:3	plea	49:9,25 50:4,20
7:14 12:21 13:3	74:17 75:3,6	74:16 92:23	33:5 72:16	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1		

				1
portion	practiced	38:6	20:12 21:3	protection
23:3 91:21	15:6 16:20 38:6	primarily	27:11 28:1 34:1	48:7 60:25
105:10	45:7 47:12	38:6,22 89:8	35:4 42:11	70:17,22
position	65:24 79:23	prior	49:11 56:14	proud
16:5,9,20,22	82:23 89:1	27:19 37:20	60:6 65:4 75:17	28:25
17:19 18:10	practices	64:17 66:1	77:6 91:21	provided
19:10 25:22,23	89:18	101:11	95:25 96:2	35:7
30:4 53:22 56:4	practicing	priority	99:25 101:22	public
69:20 70:11	36:19 42:23	48:15	105:11	10:11 11:9
84:4 85:3 89:20	55:3 73:25	private	produce	21:12 23:3
90:4 95:5,10,24	predecessors	38:4,22 41:2	91:10	32:16 38:23
96:5,18 104:11	37:1	42:2 46:18,22	profess	41:2 68:3 79:15
positions	predominately	48:18 54:7 55:4	44:19	85:8 89:10
11:3,5 45:18	54:10	89:2,11 98:7	profession	publicly
positive	prejudice	99:10 104:13,25	14:20 47:17	78:11 88:24
15:24 55:13,14	21:10	pro	professional	pulled
75:19 88:15	prepare	41:4 96:13	11:8 14:16	47:8
96:25	47:15 96:20	probate	15:13 23:13	purchased
post-conviction	prepared	61:20	53:25 63:19	60:24 98:16,18
36:6 39:22,24	86:20 88:1	probation	96:7 100:10	purely
41:9	present	44:2	professions	36:24
posture	9:23 13:16	problem	44:24	purposes
67:21	52:24 82:6	70:10,12 72:21	program	11:10,15,18
potential	presentation	problems	15:5	13:20,23 14:1,4
19:2 23:20	24:1	18:7 31:11 57:7	property	53:3,6,9 94:11,
potentially	presume	procedural	20:10,14	14,17
49:17 92:2	40:12,16 41:16,	23:25 67:21	proportion	pursuant
105:17	19 42:18 43:8	procedure	88:21	10:22 49:13
practical	66:23 67:9 68:8,	15:1 17:10,20	prosecuted	91:23 105:12
97:3	24 73:16	29:8 31:10	31:14 40:12	push
practice	pretrial	98:18	prosecuting	61:1,2 71:13
16:16 25:2	81:17,24	procedures	32:1 58:22	pushed
35:11 38:5,9,22	pretty	21:5 33:1 75:18	prosecution	82:10
42:3 45:10	73:10 79:19	proceed	15:9 68:5	pushing
46:18,23 47:7	84:15	10:18,19	prosecutor	82:11
48:18 53:23	previous	proceeding	17:7 19:5,10	put
54:8 57:17 80:1	13:12 52:20	23:2	31:12 37:8 39:3,	8:9 59:12 60:6
82:18 84:4 89:2,	94:4	proceedings	8 40:12 43:15	68:12 69:12
11 90:8 91:8	previously	100:1	prosecutors	98:3
95:6 98:8 99:10	93:7		36:23 40:14	
104:13,15,25	Price	process 17:25 18:19	protected	
	32:20 35:20	17.43 10.17	70:9,13	
	32.20 33.20		,	
	1	1	1	1

	20 26:18 28:11,	reading	receiving	regard
	13 29:7,14	79:20 103:8	50:11 75:5	33:17 41:7
Q	33:11 34:20	ready	recent	96:21 97:25
qualifications	35:6,8 39:11,17	10:17 31:5 72:9	37:19 67:23	relates
10:6 11:16 13:6	40:8 46:10,12	83:15 86:22	recently	29:17 47:8
23:10 44:25	49:8,9 50:17	94:22	95:8 104:9	relating
49:20 52:14	53:13 64:5	real	recognize	21:11 29:5
63:23 92:6	65:14,16,19,20	17:21 73:22	73:23 80:6	relationship
93:23 100:9	69:22 75:11	104:23	85:24 99:7	51:8
105:20	86:8 91:18,19	realistically		
qualified	94:22,23 102:8,	71:7	recommendatio	relationships
11:4 14:17 23:7,	10 103:11,15		n 72.17.95.7	55:23
9 24:8,16,18	105:7,8	realize	72:17 85:7	release
25:15,22 26:2	quick	16:14 17:15	86:10	49:20 92:5
30:3 54:1 63:18,	37:16	21:7 94:19	record	105:20
22 64:1 75:17	Quickly	realized	10:3,11 12:21	released
96:8 100:8	86:7	23:18 50:5	13:3 22:24 28:8	7:25
103:5	00.7	realm	49:19 50:1,2,5,	relevant
qualify		82:23	21 53:18,20	25:9
24:25 25:10	R	reapplied	57:15,16 58:12	relief
qualities	raise	95:23	59:13 63:11	36:6 39:24 41:9
97:7	7:19 12:8 51:15	reappointment	65:11 92:4,16	reliefs
question	92:18	95:9	95:1,3 99:23	39:22
24:21,22 25:4,8,	raised	reason	102:4 105:19	rely
17 27:8 29:4,18,	28:8 65:11	69:7 72:1,9 82:3	records	26:10
21 30:5,10,14	102:5	89:20	21:12 33:16	remain
46:15 48:2	ran	reasonable	100:25	49:19 92:4
68:20 77:3 89:1,	87:2	48:17 55:18	recover	105:19
14,23 104:1	Rankin	reasons	100:2	remaining
questioned	8:19,25 9:7,13,	79:12	recovery	63:22
50:7 76:4 78:18	15	recall	99:13	remarks
questioning		36:5,7 103:3,4,7	refer	85:13
28:10 32:8	rates	receive	58:12	remember
63:14 65:13	47:18	34:8 62:9	referee	31:25 32:3 50:7,
102:7	RE-	received	66:18	11,14 99:7
	EXAMINATIO	13:14 15:23	referencing	102:16,19 104:6
Questionnaire	N	21:8 27:18 32:7	50:13	remind
12:13 13:20,24 35:5 51:19 52:1	46:14 87:16	52:22 55:11	reflect	49:12 91:22
53:3,7 92:24	reach	64:16 94:5	103:23	105:12
94:11,15	26:8 71:12	95:16,17,18	reflects	removed
<u>'</u>	87:12	96:23 101:10	26:13	100:24
questions 14:11 24:14,15,	read	103:22	refusals	renders
14.11 44.14,13,	35:4 83:24		74:4	renuers

14:16 53:25	100:11 103:22	respectful	Rodney	safe
96:8	request	58:9	74:17 75:3	105:24
rental	7:5 21:21 62:16	respond	role	safekeeping
20:10	91:10	17:22 19:7,17	15:10 37:7	60:4,6
repeated	requested	24:9 26:4 30:12	43:15,17 44:9	Safety
30:8	50:3	54:13 56:16	45:16 58:17	68:3
		58:18 78:24	roll	Safran
replies 9:2,5,11 10:13	requesting 68:18	97:15 100:20	72:2	21:25 22:15
22:5,21 62:23		responded	Ronnie	62:19 79:3,4
63:9	required	25:17	66:8 86:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	18:12 21:1	responding		sake
report	44:23 45:2	30:4	room	76:11
7:6,7,11 9:20	requirements		14:10 62:25	Saluda
10:7 11:16	33:19 53:22	response	roster	105:2
19:20 20:4,19 21:13,19 24:12	95:4	16:10 19:5 56:4	68:13,24	satisfied
l '	requires	responsibilities	round	100:16
26:13 36:13,14 49:20 60:20	84:11	55:6,7	29:15	save
61:8 78:6 92:5	requiring	responsible	rule	81:18
98:25 100:7	10:24	90:21	26:20 64:8	SC
105:20	requisite	resume	84:11 101:3	28:4 65:8 102:2
	17:18	63:14	rules	scars
reported 23:6 50:9 63:17	research	retained	15:2 17:8,10,20	80:24
	18:6,12 26:8	61:24	26:21 29:7 31:8,	schedule
reporters	37:18,22	retired	9 40:5,21 43:12,	90:10
76:24	reserved	86:17	14 64:9 101:4	scheduled
reporting	31:3	reverse	ruling	7:10 46:23
33:22 50:15	reside	54:5	96:16 97:19	scheduling
reports	20:11	review	run	47:6
7:25 10:4,9,10	residence	10:1,24 49:16	15:5 84:15	scholarship
11:19	53:23 95:5	87:8 98:19	92:11	15:1,3,4
represent	resident	105:16	running	school
61:25	86:16	reviewed	48:16 86:20	14:23 15:6 25:6
representation	resolutions	28:3 65:6 93:5	92:10	43:6 51:6 54:6
98:11	82:11	99:16 101:25	rush	104:2,12
representative	resolve	reviewing	47:13	schools
22:1 61:5 84:25	73:12 100:23	88:12		77:22
85:1	105:4	revocation	S	screen
represented	resolved	44:2,3		9:24 11:12
61:11,13 99:12	58:25 73:11	ring	Sabb	screened
representing	82:2	8:4	8:12,13,24	95:8 102:16
62:2,8 90:25	respect	road	28:14,15,16	screening
reputation	80:4,20	69:24	33:9,10	11:11 27:15,20
23:8 63:20	, , ,			11.11 27.13,20

49:11,18 64:19,	send	settled	18:8 90:22	SLED
23 91:21 92:3	60:2,3,13	42:18	sir	19:20 20:4,19
101:12,16	sends	settlement	12:16,19,22	60:20 61:8
105:11,18	34:11	62:3	13:1 29:9 30:1,	98:25
screenings	sensitive	seven-and-	18,22 31:15,18	small
13:13 52:20	47:6,11 89:17	97:18	32:12 33:4,7	47:7 73:20
94:4 102:18	separate	Seventeen	34:24 35:15	81:11,12 89:8
search	45:17 61:10	16:6	36:3,19 38:21,	104:15
13:11 52:19	67:13	sexist	25 39:6,12,19,	smaller
94:2	serve	78:11,20	25 40:6,18,20,	59:2 73:25
seat	15:20 36:18	· ·	23,25 41:5,10,	89:12,18
11:12 56:1	45:15,18,20	shake	14,18,23 42:1,5,	smart
95:22	85:4 97:13	76:12	12,15,17,21	16:1
seats	100:18	shared	43:11,13,17	Smith
9:24	served	32:9	44:13 45:8 47:2,	7:13,15 8:21,24
seconded	19:25 20:16	Sheriff	12 49:5 50:24	9:3,6,10,12,21
8:25 22:1	28:18 86:2	59:25	51:3,15,23,25	10:8,14 11:20,
		show	52:6,8 66:3,6	23 12:4,7,12,17,
section	serves	77:24	67:3,7,11 68:6,	20,23 13:2 14:8
21:12	30:11	showed	15 69:2 73:19	21:24 22:4,6,16,
security	service	20:19	79:17 84:9	20,22 28:12
19:21 104:6	88:10 92:8 96:7	shows	87:13,23 88:17,	33:10 34:20
seek	103:6,20 105:23	7:7	25 89:4,10,22	39:14 40:8,9
11:5	serving	side	90:4 91:15,17	46:12 49:8 50:2,
seeking	24:4 34:25 88:9	28:21 41:3	92:18 93:11	17,22,25 51:12,
8:3 67:25 95:21	97:23	82:25 83:5	94:24 96:6	18,24 52:3,7,12
Selection	session	96:22 97:16	sit	53:12 62:19,22,
10:22 13:5	8:2,4,8 9:17	104:21	64:1 73:1 83:18	24 63:4,8 65:15,
52:13 93:22	21:22,25 22:1,9,	sides	site	17 78:7 79:3
Senate	11,12,14,17,23,	68:4	104:7	84:24 86:5
7:23	25 23:3 62:17,	signature	sitting	87:16 88:5
Senator	20 63:2,3,5,10,	9:19	24:6 27:4 43:21	90:14 91:19
7:13,14 8:12,13,	12	signed	71:10	92:14,17,22
23,24,25 9:1,7,	sessions	93:6	situations	93:3,9,12,15,19
13,15 27:2	17:11,12 23:17	signify	66:17 72:14	94:23 102:10,12
28:14,15,16	30:6 38:3,7,10,	9:8	size	103:15 105:8
33:9,10 34:20,	11 39:18,23	similar	85:19	solely
22 39:16 46:12,	40:22 44:6	17:17 72:16		26:10
14 86:11 88:5,6,	73:21 85:19	81:10	ski 70:20	solicitor
7 103:15,17,18	97:11 98:1,6			17:7 18:10 32:4
senatorial	settle	simply 98:9	skills	45:16,19 48:14
28:19	91:11		18:5 19:1 97:10	54:15 56:18,20
		single		34.13 30.16,20
	1	1	1	1

59.17.62.7	are a sifi a a ller	00.12		50.10
58:17 62:7 65:22 67:10	specifically 56:9,10 77:15	98:13	story 31:20,23 32:5	59:18
68:8,9 69:1 73:1	101:23	starting	· ·	suggested
83:8 85:7,11		14:23	striking 66:22	32:5
88:1 90:15	specifics 58:10	state		suit
		13:11 18:5,23	Strom	42:10
solicitor's	speedy	22:24 24:24	7:4 22:13,16	suited
40:3 54:17,21 66:14 83:10	72:7	25:1,9,10 32:22	39:14,15 54:15	16:5
	spend	36:18 37:10	57:11 86:5,6	summaries
88:11 89:3,6 90:2	12:3 81:16	38:24 42:20	87:19	87:9
	spirit	52:18 63:11	strong	summary
solicitors	49:15 91:25	67:19,24 71:24	97:9	23:22 59:21
77:7	105:15	86:16 92:9 94:2	studies	60:7,11 63:24
solutions	spoken	105:23	94:4	91:11 100:13
55:18	36:21	stated	study	summoned
somebody's	Spring	23:15 35:11	13:9,12 52:17,	73:9
7:25	10:4,5 11:15,18	75:24	20 93:25	Sumter
son	SRP	statement	studying	75:2
21:1	104:7	12:14 13:18	98:17	super
sort	stability	14:1,4 50:18	stuff	74:6,7
46:3	23:11 63:24	51:20 53:1,9	73:7 87:9 91:6	supervisors
sought	100:12	76:8 92:23 94:9,	submit	19:15
27:18 64:16	staff	17	35:3 60:4 96:1	supplement
101:10	15:10 16:22	States	submitted	18:18 35:7 38:1
sound	18:10 28:9	60:9	12:15 18:2 25:6	supplemental
90:19	43:18 45:20	status	27:1 51:21	100:1
South	65:12 102:6	60:4,7 95:12	92:25 93:7 96:4	
10:5,9,23 11:15	stage	statute	submitting	Supreme 43:21
25:1 26:21	98:9	84:11	26:23 27:17	
34:11 64:8 85:5	stamina	statutory	64:11,15 101:6	surgeries
92:9 105:23	15:19 45:1,2	53:22 95:4	substantially	21:2
span	standard	stay	79:19	surgery
18:21 54:3	24:23,24 25:3,	22:7 63:1 64:3	substantive	70:20
Spartanburg	11	Stefan	24:14,19,21	surprised
7:4	start	67:24	25:4 29:4 44:10	76:23 99:6
speak	8:3 10:20,21	step	success	surrounding
27:5 87:3	14:19 24:12	79:6	69:9	20:9,23 56:11
speaking	42:10 82:5	steps		survey
37:2	87:21 88:3	82:7	successful	13:9 52:16
	98:17	stick	44:12,18 71:25	55:13 93:25
special		57:9	successfully	surveys
66:18	started		37:24	15:23 55:11
specific	32:2 40:3 42:23	stories	sued	96:24
57:13 76:18	60:23 79:24	75:4,5,8		
		1		1

swear	ten	47:15 57:12	63:14 66:5 67:6	training
12:8	43:3 54:25	59:3 66:17 67:1	69:13,24 70:7,	24:4 32:6 44:14,
sworn	65:23	69:23 73:2	10,13 72:24	15,17
12:10,14 14:1,4	tended	79:11,14 82:18	73:7 74:18	trains
51:16,20 52:10	55:8	83:21 84:14,15	77:10 80:4,25	87:2
53:9 92:21,23	tenure	87:1,13 90:10	81:16 84:8 85:2,	transcript
93:17,20 94:17	19:4	91:4,6,12	25 87:3 89:5,17	13:4 52:10
system		thinking	90:1,9,13,17	
64:3 75:18	term 33:19 43:14	82:5	91:4,7 92:7	transcripts 25:5
04.3 73.10	70:8,25 72:2	thought	93:4,10,11	
	81:20	18:3 29:19,22	95:13 96:4 98:3	transitioning 58:16
T		57:21 66:12	102:18 105:21	
tab	terms 16:19 17:4	78:13	times	travels
7:12	40:15,16 46:5	thread	9:16 25:14 26:1	105:24
table	69:19 79:7 83:4	18:25	30:1 31:1,4 36:2	treated
29:15	84:5,20	threatened	56:22 57:24	75:9
takes	testifies	57:19	73:1,10 76:19	treating
98:21	12:10 51:16	threatening	77:11,13	75:8
taking	92:21	59:24	Title	trend's
34:4 37:14	testify	threats	10:23	43:2
84:12	13:17 52:24	59:25	today	trial
talk	94:7		7:14 11:11,21	17:8,11,12
8:8 27:9 35:9	testimony	throughly 13:6 52:13	12:5,21 13:4,15	18:22,23 23:15
58:7 77:19	50:18 52:10	93:22	33:14 49:10,22,	26:3 30:3 31:3
talking	53:19 93:17,21	Thurmond	25 51:13 52:10,	33:5 37:1,5,7
42:6 76:9	95:1	54:15 62:7 88:1	22 65:14 92:11	38:2 43:10 44:5,
taught	That's	90:15	93:7,17 94:6,21	12,16 45:3
15:17 58:3	33:9		102:8 103:10	54:13,19 61:5
	theses	Thursday 7:8	told	68:13 69:16,18, 25 82:17
tax 37:19 46:6	34:4		95:15 101:23	
100:15,22		time 7:5 9:22,23	top	trials 17:22 30:25
teacher	thing 7:24 31:12	13:18 14:9	86:9,12	31:5,6,7 66:20,
12:2	34:15 42:22	18:21 19:1	touched	21
	65:21 66:19	20:20 21:4	17:16,19 36:15	
temper 57:23	68:8 71:2 73:5	25:16,21 27:5,6,	75:20 89:13	trip 70:20
	78:17 81:13	9 31:4 32:4	97:14	
temperament	83:17 84:19	34:16 39:7	tough	true 32:11
15:17,20,25 16:2 23:9 56:4,	85:5 86:14 87:9	40:17 45:9	58:16 75:10	
10:2 23:9 30:4,	things	46:16 47:3,6	town	truth
75:14,19,24	18:13 32:14	49:7,21 50:10	73:20	79:12
76:3,7 97:2,9	35:4 37:5 38:17,	51:11 52:4	tradition	Tuesday
100:12	20 39:8 42:8	58:16 59:3	80:12	7:9
100.12	20 37.0 12.0			

tutor	understood	13:10 52:18	ways	83:5
15:1	29:2 75:10	94:1	58:19	witnesses
tutorial	undertake	versed	weaknesses	13:16 24:25
15:5	11:6	23:25 31:10	37:12,13	31:6 41:21,22
tutoring	unfamiliar	37:3 43:12	wealth	52:23 67:16
17:20	98:21	vice	43:8 67:8 74:20	94:7
twelfth	United	8:18,19,25 9:7,	wear	women's
16:16	60:9	13	73:24	78:12
twelve	unqualified	victims	Wednesday	wondering
36:20 43:3 45:7	23:12,24	31:7	7:10	8:7
47:12	unusual	view	week	words
twisting	54:4,14 77:23	76:10 92:1	7:20,21 40:16	36:16 58:1
81:17	83:22	violations	58:6 68:14	work
two-and-a-	updated	49:16 92:1	69:25 76:1,8,14	35:15,17 37:13
104:5	52:4	105:16	weeks	38:24 39:2
twofold	updates	visiting	25:19 40:15	43:23 45:11
30:23	93:9	72:11,12	70:24 80:22	48:6,14,22
type	upset	volume	95:17,19 100:24	54:10,11,16
38:23 41:1 46:6	76:19 77:13,17	88:21	weight	56:19 59:3 71:1
66:18 87:8 91:5	70.17 77.13,17	vote	49:18 92:3	75:6,7 77:7
types	T 7	7:6,14	105:18	79:22 80:5
18:11 41:25	V	votes	Wells	86:22 87:14
58:21 69:23	vacation	23:1 63:13	20:20	90:13 98:2,21
76:25 83:21	48:11	23.1 03.13	We're	104:20,21
87:12 91:3	vacations	***	63:10	worked
	47:19	W	we've	14:23 30:6 33:2
U	Valley	Wackenhut	32:6 73:24	39:2 70:5 89:6
	12:2	104:8	Whitney	104:4
ultimately	varied	waive	61:13	workers'
8:2 61:4 67:16	54:23	53:11 59:8	wife	15:8 38:16
90:14	variety	walk	51:10 99:17	41:11,12 46:2,4,
uncomfortable	38:19 39:3,8	31:4	104:10	6
32:2	vast	walking	wife's	working
understand	37:6 72:13	50:5	70:19	17:6 54:21 55:5
28:3 29:10,24	veil	wanted	Williams	79:25 82:25
30:10 32:15,23	63:5	54:18,19,20	99:4,8,13	83:9 90:8,20
33:3 41:20 48:5	vein	59:14 69:17	willingness	workplace
55:2,5 65:6 74:5	17:17 82:15	70:9 81:22 85:5	79:22	78:20
80:19 102:1	verdict	86:14	winner	works
understanding	76:9 91:2,16	wasting	80:7	55:16,21
48:12 81:2	verification	69:24	withstanding	world
	vei meation		withstanding	14:21 17:21

73:5 77:20	95:5 97:19,22
78:21 81:25	103:7 104:6,15,
worst	19
73:5	young
wreck	7:13,14 8:23 9:1
82:13 91:5	20:24 27:2
wrecks	34:21,22 46:13,
43:24	14 86:11 88:5,6,
writing	7 103:16,17,18
97:9	Young's
written	39:16
16:6 56:2 86:10	younger
97:12	16:14
wrote	
86:13	Z
Y	Zasadil
	19:23
y'all	
7:11 22:8 51:13	
62:24,25 68:9	
85:15,21 87:19	
y'all's	
7:22	
year	
15:4 16:16	
33:19,20,21	
34:5,6,10 40:13	
43:3 65:23	
98:15,19	
years	
16:21 20:16	
29:13 30:7	
31:14 35:21	
36:8,20,21	
38:19 43:4 45:7	
46:19 47:12	
50:9 53:23	
54:25 57:16 66:1,2 68:7	
· ·	
I AU'S IN AU'S N	
80:3,16 81:3,6 82:24 83:9 89:2	
82:24 83:9 89:2	